

National Waste & Recycling Association

Bottle Bill Escheats Summary Report

For six states : Connecticut, Hawaii, Maine, Massachusetts, New York, and Vermont

Alice Spaulding
8-5-2025

Authors and Contributors

Lead Author

Alice Spaulding

National Waste & Recycling Association Intern, Arlington, VA
Student, School of Economics, Georgia Institute of Technology

Technical Reviewers

Sara Bixby, NWRA Director of Regulatory and Environmental Affairs

Bixby conducted technical reviews of the methodologies and models used in the report, ensuring accuracy and reliability.

Acknowledgments

We thank the NWRA Recycling Committee for valuable input and support during the preparation of this report.

Table of Contents

Authors and Contributors	1
Table of Contents	2
Tables and Figures	3
Bottle Bills and Escheats	4
Disclaimer	6
1.0 Unclaimed Beverage Container Deposits	7
1.1 Connecticut	10
1.2 Hawaii	12
1.3 Maine	15
1.4 Massachusetts	17
1.5 New York	19
1.6 Vermont	21
2.0 Redemption	24
2.1 Redemption Methods	24
2.2 Accepted Beverages	26
2.3 Deposits	28
3.0 Key Takeaways	29
References	30
Appendix A	32

Table and Figures

Table 1 Summary of One-Year Escheats By State	5
Table 2: Redemption Rates According to Container Recycling Institute (CRI) ⁱⁱ	7
Table 3: Unclaimed Deposit Ownership in Each State	8
Table 4: Unclaimed Deposit Use in Each State.....	9
Table 5: Connecticut Container Escheats	11
Table 6: Hawaii Container Escheats.....	14
Table 7: Maine Container Escheats.....	16
Table 8: Massachusetts Container Escheats	18
Table 9: New York Container Escheats	21
Table 10: Vermont Container Escheats	22
Table 11: Beverage Redemption Parameters by State	25
Table 12: Redeemable Beverage Containers by State	27
Table 13: Container Deposit Amounts by State	28
Figure 1: Connecticut Container Deposits Received and Returned	11
Figure 2 : Hawaii Bottle Bill Money Flow	13
Figure 3: Hawaii Container Deposits Received and Returned.....	14
Figure 4: Maine Bottle Bill Money Flow	15
Figure 5: Maine Container Deposits Received and Returned.....	16
Figure 6: Massachusetts Container Deposits Received and Returned	18
Figure 7: Massachusetts State Deposit Revenue	19
Figure 8: New York Container Deposits Received and Returned	21
Figure 9: Vermont Container Deposits Received and Returned	22

Bottle Bills and Escheats

Ten states in the United States implemented container deposit systems, commonly called “bottle bills,” over a timespan from 1971 to 2002 to combat roadside litter. Despite substantial growth in the recycling infrastructure nationwide since the passage of those programs, additional states are now proposing legislation to implement bottle bills with the idea of shifting responsibility for funding and processing to distributors. Some of the original 10 states have also revised their laws to reflect the introduction of different beverage containers, update deposit fees, and amend convenience requirements, among other things, since the laws were first adopted.

As of late 2025, states with bottle bills are California, Connecticut, Iowa, Hawaii, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New York, Oregon, and Vermont. The oldest bottles bills were enacted in the 1970s, while Hawaii’s bill, enacted in 2002, is the newest. GT Environmental, Inc.ⁱ previously completed a report for NWRA reviewing bottle bill systems in California, Iowa, Michigan, and Oregon. This report focuses on Connecticut, Hawaii, Maine, Massachusetts, New York, and Vermont, but draws on data gathered by GT Environmental to provide summary data for all ten states. Table 1 presents a summary of all of these sources.

“Bottle bill” refers to a state law that requires beverage consumers to pay a deposit when they purchase covered beverages, which they then redeem if they return the container. Consumers bring empty beverage containers (cans, bottles) to a redemption center or various retailers to redeem their deposit. When consumers don’t return empty containers, the unclaimed deposits, also called escheats, accumulate.

State laws vary widely in setting requirements for the distribution of unclaimed deposits. Some grant all or some of the escheats to the distributors of the containers; others claim the escheats for the state general fund. Only a few specify the use of the escheats to support more beverage container recovery/recycling.

A significant amount of container deposits are not reclaimed by consumers. A review of a single year across the six states in this report shows a one-year total of more than \$300 million in unclaimed deposits based on a range of 23% to 64% of all deposits left unclaimed. In the ten states with bottle bills, **single year** escheats total more than **\$900 million** based on a range of 9% to 64% of deposits left unclaimed.

Convenience is a major driver for consumers in redeeming containers. The original bottle bill states saw high levels of redemption when empty containers were returned to the stores where they were purchased. Redemption levels declined over time as state laws allowed retailers to identify off-site redemption locations as much as 15 miles away. Similarly, down-time in on-site reverse vending machines can contribute to consumer frustration, leading to longer-term declines in redemption.

Table 1 Summary of One-Year Escheats by State

State	Year	Deposits		Unclaimed Percentage	Unclaimed Deposits
		Collected	Returned		
California	2023	\$1,480,678,000	\$1,080,523,000	27.0%	\$400,155,000
Connecticut	2024	\$140,235,822	\$73,044,376	35.9%	\$67,191,446
Hawaii	2024	\$49,024,940	\$27,351,889	42.5%	\$20,811,105
Iowa	2021	\$97,212,806	\$36,454,802	62.5%	\$60,758,004
Maine	2024	\$16,880,822	\$12,491,808	26.0%	\$4,389,014
Massachusetts	2023	\$112,096,875	\$40,354,875	64.0%	\$71,742,000
Michigan	2023	\$389,500,000	\$284,600,000	27.0%	\$104,900,000
New York	2023	\$477,544,042	\$326,162,581	31.7%	\$151,381,461
Oregon	2023	\$230,600,000	\$208,800,000	9.0%	\$21,800,000
Vermont	2023	\$12,752,432	\$9,117,959	28.5%	\$3,634,443
One-Year Equivalent		\$3,006,525,739	\$2,098,901,290	35.4%	\$906,762,473

Conclusion

No matter how a bottle bill system is set up, some deposits are not reclaimed by consumers. Every state treats these unclaimed deposits differently, but this revenue is typically not put back into support of recycling programs.

Convenience is a key driver in encouraging redemption. As retailers have opted out of providing on-site redemption, consumers have redeemed a lower percentage of containers, and the amount of escheats has risen. With extensive infrastructure nationally, curbside recycling has become a more convenient overall option for recovery of the beverage containers as well as all other household recyclables.

Data from the Bottle Bill states supports the following conclusions:

- Bottle bills are not current or cutting-edge programs. They continue to rely on the assumption that consumers purchase covered beverages from stores and will return those beverages to the same stores. This overlooks the vast expansion in beverage and packaging options, increasing reliance on online shopping, and changes in laws allowing retailers to identify off-site redemption locations.
- Curbside recycling infrastructure has grown rapidly since 2002. Proposed new bottle bills are duplicative recycling programs that undermine existing recycling infrastructure and funding sources.
- If a bottle bill is adopted, material recovery facilities (MRFs) should be allowed to collect refunds for the redeemable containers they receive via curbside recycling programs.

- NWRA supports adding language to new bottle bills that would allow for the ongoing distribution of 80% of the unclaimed funds to municipalities with 20% provided to the MRF.
- Rather than going to unrestricted use in a state general fund or to distributors, unclaimed deposits should be channeled toward the support of recycling education and municipal recycling programs.
- Where Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) programs for packaging are implemented, beverage containers should fall under the EPR program instead of a separate bottle bill program.

Disclaimer

All data and information contained in this report have been collected and presented with the intent of maximizing accuracy. The primary sources of information include official state department and legislative websites, as well as the Container Recycling Institute's (CRI) *Bottle Bill Resource Guide*. Every effort has been made to ensure the information is current and reliable.

For Maine, Massachusetts, New York, and Vermont, only escheats data was available. Total deposits collected from and returned to consumers were calculated using state-reported escheats and CRI-provided redemption rates. While escheats data is reported by fiscal year, CRI does not specify whether its redemption rates correspond to fiscal or calendar years. As such, the calculated values for the deposits collected and returned may be subject to a small margin of error.

Sources for the data, tables and figures are listed, typically by state, in the References section at the end of this report.

1.0 Unclaimed Beverage Container Deposits

The first bottle bills implemented in the United States date back to the 1970s, before curbside recycling was introduced. The intent of bottle bills was to reduce the littering of beverage bottles and cans by allowing consumers to redeem a deposit of 5 to 15 cents that they paid every time they purchased a beverage covered by the law.

While each state has a unique bottle bill, they are made up of the same components. Base components include deposit amounts, collection methods, refund methods, accepted beverages, and ownership of unclaimed deposits. This report will focus on the unclaimed deposits of Connecticut, Hawaii, Maine, Massachusetts, New York, and Vermont.

The Container Recycling Institute (CRI) established a Bottle Bill Resource Guide with quick facts about the different components of the bottle bills of each state. Table 2 shows the redemption rates of bottles in 2022 and 2023 as provided by the Bottle Bill Resource Guide. Redemption rate refers to the percentage of total bottles sold that were returned by consumers for a refund.

Table 2: Redemption Rates According to Container Recycling Institute (CRI)ⁱⁱ

State	2022	2023	2024 ^a
California	60%	59%	59%
Connecticut	44%	43%	65%
Hawaii	59%	55%	54%
Iowa	49%	-	-
Maine	78%	77%	74%
Massachusetts	38%	36%	35%
Michigan	76%	73%	70%
New York	70%	68%	69%
Oregon	86%	87%	87%
Vermont	71%	72%	70%

^a 2024 data extrapolated from “Connecticut’s beverage container redemption rate rose more than 21% in 2024,” Packaging Dive, Aug. 11, 2025.

Who Keeps Unclaimed Deposits and How Are They Used?

Escheats in the context of bottle bills are the revenues from unclaimed deposits that are legally property of the state. States distribute unclaimed deposits differently. Tables 3 and 4 summarize ownership and use by states, drawing from the work by GT Environmental as well as from legislative and regulatory language from each state.

New York and Connecticut direct a predetermined percentage of unclaimed deposits to the state, allowing distributors to keep the remaining deposits. Massachusetts, Hawaii, and Vermont specify all unclaimed deposits are property of the state.

As of July 2025, Maine directs all but \$500,000 unclaimed deposits toward a Commingling Cooperative, which is a separate entity made of the “initiators of deposits” required to work cooperatively to oversee collection, progressing and recycling of all covered beverage containers. The remaining \$500,000 is directed to the State.

Table 3: Unclaimed Deposit Ownership in Each State

California	State statute requires that the state manage and control finances of the beverage container recycling system. Deposits from sold containers are paid in to the state fund. After refunds are distributed, any unclaimed refunds stay with the state.
Connecticut	Unclaimed deposits are shared by the state and the distributors. Beginning in fiscal year 2025, the proportion of unclaimed deposits kept by distributors depends on the redemption rate of the previous year. When redemption rates are higher, distributors keep more of the revenue.
Hawaii	State statute requires that the state manage and control finances of the beverage container recycling system. Deposits from sold containers are paid in to the state fund. After refunds are distributed, any unclaimed refunds stay with the state.
Iowa	Per state statute unclaimed deposits are property of distributors.
Maine	Beginning July 15, 2025, all unclaimed deposits must be transferred to a special fund run by the commingling cooperative to support and maintain the bottle bill program.
Massachusetts	All unclaimed deposits are property of the state.
Michigan	State statute requires distributors to turn over unclaimed deposits.
New York	80% of unclaimed deposits are remitted to the state and the remaining 20% are kept by distributors. Of the remittance to the state, the first \$23 million per fiscal year and any escheats balance in excess of \$122.2 million go to the state Environmental Protection Fund.
Oregon	Distributors keep unclaimed deposits.
Vermont	All unclaimed deposits are property of the state.

Table 4: Unclaimed Deposit Use in Each State

California	Statute requires unclaimed deposits be used for administering the beverage container recycling.
Connecticut	In fiscal year 2025, 50% of unclaimed deposits will be deposited into the state's General Fund with no requirements that they support recycling or environmental programs. The remaining 50% of unclaimed deposits are retained by distributors. Beginning in fiscal year 2026, and for any fiscal year thereafter, the percentage of unclaimed deposits remitted to the state will be dependent upon the redemption rate of the previous year.
Hawaii	The Department of Health is responsible for all deposits. They pay retailers and redemption centers refunds and handling fees, and any remaining deposits are put back into the bottle bill program.
Iowa	Per state statute Iowa beverage distributors keep all unclaimed deposits.
Maine	Unclaimed deposits belong to the commingling cooperative, which uses deposits to maintain the bottle bill program. Annual payments of \$500,000 and \$600,000 must be made to the Department of Environmental Protection and the Cost and Carbon Efficient Technology Fund, respectively, using unclaimed deposits.
Massachusetts	Unclaimed deposits are primarily deposited into the General Fund with no restrictions on use. Each subsequent legislature determines the allocation of additional funds to the Clean Environment Fund (CEF). Legislation is awaiting approval that would require \$70 million to be deposited into the General Fund each fiscal year, with the remaining going to the CEF.
Michigan	Statute states 75% of unclaimed deposits stay with the state in a fund and 25% go to retailers. The fund is overseen by the state treasury. Statue specifies how the 75% of unclaimed deposits in the fund must be distributed. See section 1.3 for the list of how the money is distributed. The distributed fund money does not flow back into the beverage container recycling system.
New York	Distributors keep 20% of unclaimed deposits. The remaining 80% is paid to the state with \$23 million and any escheats balance in excess of \$122.2 million credited to the Environmental Protection Fund each fiscal year in support of environmental programs.
Oregon	Distributors keep unclaimed deposits. Beverage distributors joined together and formed the Oregon Beverage Recycling Cooperative (OBRC). OBRC serves as a not-for-profit statewide operator. The unclaimed deposits are reported to be invested directly into the operation of the Bottle bill redemption system statewide.
Vermont	Unclaimed deposits are remitted to the state in full to be deposited into Vermont's Clean Water Fund to support wastewater and stormwater projects, and more.

1.1 Connecticut

How the Money Flows¹

1. Deposit Initiator (Distributor/Bottler)

- Pays the **deposit amount** (currently \$0.10 per container) into a special account with the state.
- Receives this deposit from **retailers** upon providing them covered beverages.

2. Retailer

- Pays the distributor the **price of the beverage + deposit**.
- Charges the **consumer** the same deposit when selling the beverage.

3. Consumer

- Pays the deposit upfront when buying the beverage.
- Receives a deposit refund when returning the empty container to a **retailer or redemption center**.

4. Redemption Center / Retailer

- Refunds the deposit to the consumer.
- Is reimbursed by the distributor for the deposit **plus a handling fee** (2.5¢ for beer, 3.5¢ for other beverages).

Who Keeps Unclaimed Deposits

A 2021 expansion of the Bottle Bill law established a process to begin splitting unclaimed deposits between the state and distributors effective at the start of fiscal year (FY) 2023. In FY2023, distributors kept 5% of the unclaimed deposits, remitting 95% to the state. In FY2024, distributors kept 100% (no remittances to the state) for the first two quarters. During the third and fourth quarters, distributors were required to remit 65% of unclaimed deposits to the state, keeping 35%.

Beginning in FY2026, the proportion of funds remitted to the state depend upon the redemption rate in the previous fiscal year. This regulation benefits distributors by permitting them to keep some of the profits from unclaimed deposits. Higher redemption rates allow distributors to keep a higher percentage of unclaimed deposits, therefore encouraging the redemption of containers.

- **FY2025:** 50% to distributor
- **FY2026:** 75% or 55% to distributor
- **FY2027:** 95%, 75%, or 55% to distributor

¹ Consumer is defined as every person who purchases a beverage in a container for consumption. Dealer means those who sell beverages in containers to consumers (e.g., retailers). Distributors are entities including manufacturers that sell beverages in containers to dealers. Manufacturers are entities bottling, canning, or otherwise filling beverage containers for sale to distributors or dealers.

- **FY2028 forward:** 95%, 90%, 75%, or 55% to distributor

Figure 1: Connecticut Container Deposits Received and Returned




Table 5: Connecticut Container Escheats

Fiscal Year	Deposits Received	Deposits Returned to Consumer	Unclaimed Percentage	Unclaimed Deposited to State	Distributor Escheats Share
2015	\$62,381,365	\$32,674,566	49.1%	\$30,599,746	\$0
2016	\$65,728,862	\$32,360,885	51.6%	\$33,530,941	\$0
2017	\$71,014,122	\$36,256,994	48.0%	\$35,600,873	\$0
2018	\$71,418,411	\$36,067,128	49.9%	\$36,519,543	\$0
2019	\$71,418,808	\$36,424,101	49.6%	\$36,099,594	\$0
2020	\$78,041,810	\$34,700,231	56.3%	\$43,650,577	\$0
2021	\$83,986,402	\$39,414,668	53.8%	\$43,505,264	\$0
2022	\$85,152,744	\$38,464,650	55.7%	\$48,258,877	\$0
2023	\$93,185,233	\$40,212,581	56.2%	\$52,584,520	\$388,132
2024	\$140,235,822	\$73,044,376	35.9%	\$42,981,924	\$24,209,522

Source: Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection

As shown in Table 5, unclaimed deposits decreased by about 20 percent from FY2023 to FY2024, reflecting an increase in the number of bottles being redeemed. CRI attributes this to the increase in bottle deposit value from 5 cents to 10 cents that went into effect Jan. 1, 2024,

which simultaneously increased the overall amount of unclaimed deposits split between the state and distributors.

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used?

Even though Connecticut's bottle bill is run by the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, distributors remit collected deposits to the Department of Revenue Services to be deposited into Connecticut's General Fund. The General Fund is the primary component of Connecticut's state budget. It can be used for expenses that arise from human services, government operations, public transportation, etc.

The law does not require that the funds from the program be used for environmental or recycling programs. However, Connecticut has a Beverage Container Recycling Grant Program that is intended to support the establishment or expansion of redemption centers in urban centers and environmental justice communities. The law states that current access to redemption centers, walking distances to centers, public access to reliable transportation, population density, and other convenience factors must be considered when funding new centers with the grant.

Sources for the State of Connecticut data are listed in the endnotes.

1.2 Hawaii

How the Money Flows

1. Consumers pay an additional 5 cents plus a non-refundable container fee of 1 cent every time they purchase a covered beverage. The non-refundable container fee is retained by the state for additional program revenue.
2. Consumers receive 5 cents per container returned when they return containers to retail locations or certified redemption centers.
3. Redemption centers are also allowed to pay refunds according to the weight of the containers being redeemed. For redemption by weight, containers must be separated by material type.
4. Distributors remit all deposits to the State Department of Health. Unclaimed deposits are retained by the Department of Health, which pays a 5-cent refund and 2 to 4-cent handling fee per container to retailers and redemption centers.


Figure 2 : Hawaii Bottle Bill Money Flow



Source: Hawaii Department of Health

Who Keeps Unclaimed Deposits

Unclaimed deposits in Hawaii are the property of the state, specifically the Department of Health, as has been the law since the program was enacted. When distributors sell beverages to retailers, they remit all deposits to the Department of Health. As the Department of Health pays refunds to consumers and retailers from a state-managed fund, any unclaimed deposits remain with the state.

Figure 3: Hawaii Container Deposits Received and Returned**Table 6: Hawaii Container Escheats**

Fiscal Year	Deposits Received	Payments Made to Recyclers	Unclaimed Percentage	Unclaimed Deposits
2014	\$46,686,419	\$36,930,958	21.0%	\$9,823,040
2016	\$47,460,689	\$35,192,539	26.3%	\$12,480,142
2018	\$46,976,905	\$31,997,668	31.4%	\$14,746,567
2020	\$48,657,827	\$30,723,334	38.8%	\$18,864,489
2022	\$51,513,837	\$31,154,367	43.7%	\$22,521,061
2024	\$49,024,940	\$27,351,889	42.5%	\$20,811,105

Source: Hawaii Office of the Auditor Financial and Program Audit of the Department of Health's Deposit Beverage Container Program

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used?

All deposits are remitted to the Department of Health to be deposited into a state-managed fund. This fund is used to reimburse redemption centers and retailers for distributed refunds and to pay the additional handling fee. Any unclaimed deposits remain in the state-managed fund.


According to CRI's Bottle Bill Resource Guide, 100% of unclaimed deposits are put back into the program to fund the management of the system, the education of the public, and the promotion of the market for recycled materials. The program is also funded in part by the non-refundable 1-cent container fee paid by consumers when they purchase a beverage.

1.3 Maine

How the Money Flows

1. Retailers pay beverage container distributors 5 to 15 cents for each beverage container they receive, depending on the type of beverage. The fees are 15 cents for wine bottles and liquor in containers larger than 50 ml and 5 cents for all other covered beverages.
2. The 5 to 15 cent deposit amount is charged to the consumer at the time they purchase a covered beverage.
3. For each beverage container returned by the consumer, the retail location or redemption center refunds the original deposit amount.
4. Beverage container distributors then reimburse retailers the applicable deposit amount plus a handling fee of 6 cents per container.

Figure 4: Maine Bottle Bill Money Flow



Source: Maine Department of Environmental Protection

Commingling

Commingling refers to the practice of sorting beverage containers according to material type and size rather than by brand. Commingling was implemented to decrease the complexity and cost of the sorting process. It reduces storage space requirements and labor during the sortation.

As of Oct. 15, 2024, beverage distributors in the state of Maine were required by law to be part of commingling agreements. These commingling groups were intended to form a commingling cooperative by Jan. 15, 2025, that would oversee the bottle bill program in Maine.

Responsibilities of the commingling cooperative include providing bottle bill education to the public, organizing efficient and consistent container pick-up, collecting deposits, etc.

Who Keeps Unclaimed Deposits

Prior to July 15, 2025, unclaimed deposits were the property of the state unless they were collected on containers covered by a commingling agreement, in which case they were retained by the distributors. Beginning July 15, 2025, the law designates unclaimed deposits to the commingling cooperative for the purpose of maintaining the bottle bill program.

Figure 5: Maine Container Deposits Received and Returned




Table 7: Maine Container Escheats

Year	Deposits Received	Deposits Returned to Consumer	Unclaimed Percentage	Unclaimed Deposits
2020	\$9,417,148	\$7,194,701	23.6%	\$2,222,447
2021	\$14,619,610	\$10,950,088	25.1%	\$3,669,522
2022	\$16,957,834	\$13,227,111	22.0%	\$3,730,724
2023	\$10,619,993	\$8,177,395	23.0%	\$2,442,599
2024	\$16,880,822	\$12,491,808	26.0%	\$4,389,014

Source: Email Correspondence with Emily Goodwin, Maine Revenue Services; CRI Bottle Bill Resource Guide

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used?

Unclaimed deposits, as of July 15, 2025, belong to the commingling cooperative. The law outlines the use of unclaimed deposits for the maintenance and administration of the bottle bill program. Uses include educational materials and signage costs, staffing and operating costs, and the funding of activities and infrastructure designed to increase the use of refillable and reusable beverage containers and packaging.

A mandatory annual fee of no more than \$600,000 must be made to Maine's Department of Environmental Protection with an additional \$500,000 annual payment made to the Cost and Carbon Efficient Technology Fund. This fund is intended to provide grants for the lease or purchase of technologies that improve operation efficiency in handling beverage containers and reduce greenhouse gas emissions from trucking. The remaining revenue from unclaimed deposits goes to the Commingling Cooperative for the maintenance of Maine's bottle bill.


1.4 Massachusetts

How the Money Flows

1. Retailers pay beverage container distributors 5 cents for each beverage container they receive.
2. The 5 cents deposit is paid to the retailer by the consumer for each beverage container purchased.
3. For each beverage container returned by the consumer, the retail location or redemption center returns 5 cents to the consumer.
4. Beverage container distributors reimburse retailers 5 cents plus a processing fee of 2.25 cents per container, and redemption centers 5 cents plus a processing fee of 3.25 cents per container.
5. Unclaimed deposits are remitted to the Commissioner of Revenue.

Who Keeps Unclaimed Deposits


Following a 1989 provision, unclaimed deposits that initially went to distributors/bottlers were made the property of the Commonwealth and placed in Massachusetts's General Fund. Distributors/bottlers are required to maintain an account known as the Deposit Transaction Fund in which they place unclaimed deposits. Every month they must report to the Commissioner of Revenue the number of containers sold, the number of containers returned, deposits received by the fund, and payments made from the fund. The unclaimed monies from the Deposit Transaction Fund are transferred to the Commissioner of Revenue.

Figure 6: Massachusetts Container Deposits Received and Returned**Table 8: Massachusetts Container Escheats**

Fiscal Year	Deposits Received	Deposits Returned to Consumer	Unclaimed Percentage	Unclaimed Deposits
2015	\$97,343,902	\$57,432,902	41%	\$39,911,000
2016	\$100,756,818	\$56,423,818	44%	\$44,333,000
2017	\$105,646,511	\$60,218,511	43%	\$45,428,000
2018	\$93,245,833	\$48,487,833	48%	\$44,758,000
2019	\$106,560,000	\$53,280,000	50%	\$53,280,000
2020	\$105,705,263	\$45,453,263	57%	\$60,252,000
2021	\$115,543,548	\$43,906,548	62%	\$71,637,000
2022	\$115,512,903	\$43,894,903	62%	\$71,618,000
2023	\$112,096,875	\$40,354,875	64%	\$ 71,742,000

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue Annual Reports; CRI Bottle Bill Resource Guide

As shown in Table 8, the percentage of unclaimed deposits in Massachusetts has continued to increase, reflecting a decrease in the redemption of bottles by consumers. As a result, the amount of revenue received by the Massachusetts Department of Revenue has also increased. One significant cause of the decreased redemption is the closure of redemption centers across Massachusetts. Redemption centers have struggled financially since a failed 2014 expansion of the law that would have increased the types of covered beverages, therefore increasing revenue for redemption centers. See Figure 7 for a visual depiction of the increase in Commonwealth revenue from unclaimed deposits.

Figure 7: Massachusetts State Deposit Revenue

Source: Massachusetts Department of Revenue Annual Reports

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used?

The original intent of Massachusetts' bottle bill was to use the revenue from unclaimed deposits to support solid waste management projects via the Clean Environment Fund (CEF). While a large percentage of revenue used to be deposited into the CEF during the 1990s, recent legislatures have allocated more unclaimed deposit revenue to the General Fund.

The law doesn't state any requirements for how unclaimed deposits are used. However, in January 2025 a bill was introduced proposing that the first \$70 million of unclaimed deposits collected by the Commissioner of Revenue be deposited into the General Fund, with the remaining revenue being deposited into the Clean Environment Fund. A hearing for the proposed legislation was scheduled for June 18, 2025. Reporting date is extended to December 2025.

1.5 New York

How the Money Flows

1. Retailers pay beverage container distributors 5 cents for each beverage container they receive.
2. Consumers pay a 5-cent deposit on each beverage container purchased.
3. For each beverage container returned by the consumer, the retail location or redemption center refunds the 5-cent deposit.
4. Beverage container distributors reimburse retailers for the 5-cent deposit plus a handling fee of 3.5 cents per container.

5. Distributors must keep all funds in a separate financial account from which they pay the Commissioner of Taxation 80% of the unclaimed deposits.

Who Keeps Unclaimed Deposits

A 2009 amendment to the law required 80% of the revenue from unclaimed deposits go to the state General Fund, with the remaining 20% kept by distributors. This is illustrated in Figure 8. Distributors are required by the law to keep a bank account in which they deposit refund values to reimburse retailers and redemption centers who accept containers from consumers.


Every quarter, distributors must file reports with the Commissioner of Taxation and Finance including the balance of the account at the beginning of the quarter, all deposits received, all deposits refunded, and the balance of the account at the end of the quarter. Every quarter, distributors must pay the Commissioner of Taxation and Finance 80% of the account balance. The remaining 20% is to be withdrawn by the distributor.

Table 9 shows the distribution of total escheats between the state and distributors. Annual escheats for the state of New York reported in Table 1 combine the amounts released to distributors as well as the revenue kept by the State.

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used?

As of April 2013, \$23 million of the state's 80% share of unclaimed deposits (and any escheats in excess of \$122.2 million) must be credited to the Environmental Protection Fund each fiscal year. The Environmental Protection Fund supports many environmental programs, including farmland protection, purchasing land for the NYS Forest Preserve, and restoring habitats.

The remaining revenue is designated to New York's General Fund, which is used for projects relating to transportation, education, healthcare, government, etc. The law does not designate unclaimed deposits going to the General Fund for specific programs or purposes.

Figure 8: New York Container Deposits Received and Returned**Table 9: New York Container Escheats**

Year	Deposits Received	Deposits Returned to Consumer	Unclaimed Percentage	Unclaimed Deposits to State (80%)	Distributor Share (20%)
2014	\$364,336,198	\$233,175,167	36.0%	\$104,928,825	\$26,232,206
2015	\$391,219,439	\$254,292,636	35.0%	\$109,541,443	\$27,385,361
2016	\$377,518,908	\$249,162,479	34.0%	\$102,685,143	\$25,671,286
2017	\$403,079,082	\$262,001,403	35.0%	\$112,862,143	\$28,215,536
2018	\$408,602,705	\$261,505,731	36.0%	\$117,677,579	\$29,419,395
2019	\$419,454,292	\$268,450,747	36.0%	\$120,802,836	\$30,200,709
2020	\$406,845,965	\$260,381,418	36.0%	\$117,171,638	\$29,292,910
2021	\$588,116,972	\$414,034,348	29.6%	\$139,266,099	\$34,816,525
2022	\$535,255,486	\$374,143,585	30.1%	\$128,889,521	\$32,222,380
2023	\$477,544,042	\$326,162,581	31.7%	\$121,105,169	\$30,276,292

Source: NYS Fiscal Year Tax Collections Section VI Table 18; CRI Bottle Bill Resource Guide

1.6 Vermont

How the Money Flows

1. Retailers pay beverage container distributors 5 cents for each beverage container they receive. Distributors may charge a handling fee as well, though it is not required by law.
2. Consumers pay a 5-cent deposit for each beverage container they purchase. If charged, retailers can pass the handling fee from the distributor onto consumers.

3. The retail location or redemption center refunds the consumer 5 to 15 cents depending on the type of beverage (15 cents for liquor, 5 cents for all other beverages) for each container returned.
4. Beverage container distributors reimburse retailers 5 to 15 cents plus a handling fee of 3.5 to 4 cents depending on whether containers are sorted by brand or by material size and type (commingling).

Who Keeps Unclaimed Deposits

In 2018, a bill was passed that transferred the ownership of unclaimed deposits from the distributors to the state. Distributors must complete quarterly reports that include the number of containers sold, the number of containers returned, the amount of deposits received and refunds made. Any unclaimed deposits are remitted to the Commissioner of Taxes on a quarterly basis. The revenue is then deposited into the Clean Water Fund. Figure 9 and Table 10 show this.

Figure 9: Vermont Container Deposits Received and Returned




Table 10: Vermont Container Escheats

Year	Deposits Received	Deposits Returned to Consumer	Unclaimed Percentage	Unclaimed Deposits
2020	\$13,787,869	\$10,740,750	22.1%	\$3,047,119
2021	\$15,451,375	\$12,113,878	21.6%	\$3,337,497
2022	\$13,175,630	\$9,539,156	27.6%	\$3,636,474
2023	\$12,752,432	\$9,117,989	28.5%	\$3,634,443

Source: Vermont Tax Department Data Request Form; CRI Bottle Bill Resource Guide

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used?

Unclaimed deposits, as of 2020, are remitted to the state to be deposited into the Clean Water Fund. The Clean Water Fund is used to support programs including wastewater collection facility construction, solid waste projects relating to water quality, and wastewater disposal.

2.0 Redemption

2.1 Redemption Methods

Redemption refers to the practice of returning empty containers and claiming the 5- to 15-cent deposit. All six states in this report require retailers to accept any empty container of beverages that they sell. This is an important factor in increasing the convenience of return, which directly impacts redemption rates.

Redemption centers are another means of returning empties and claiming deposits. All six states have registered redemption centers. However, some states give redemption centers the authority to decide what type of containers they will accept, while others are required to accept any clean unbroken container that is covered by the law.

The most convenient means of bottle recycling is through curbside pickup, but consumers can't retrieve their deposit this way.

Hawaii, Maine, and Vermont all allow retailers to apply for exemption from redeeming containers. In Hawaii, if a retailer is in a high-density population area on the island of Oahu, and they are not within 2 miles of a redemption center, they must redeem containers. Otherwise, neighboring islands and small convenience stores are exempt from redemption duties. Maine requires retailers with more than 5,000 square feet of retail space to redeem bottles, but they can enter agreements with redemption centers within 10 miles in which the redemption center will provide redemption services on behalf of the retailer. Vermont retailers can also apply for exemption so long as they identify an alternate redemption center that can serve the public's need.

Table 11 summarizes redemption requirements and facility numbers by state for all 10 bottle bill states.

Table 11: Beverage Redemption Parameters by State

State	# redemption centers	Retailers required to redeem?	Yes	But
California	1,299 recycling centers; 3,601 retailers	Yes	Stores in areas without recycling centers must redeem containers or join cooperative systems as of January 2025.	
Connecticut	32	Yes		
Hawaii	53	Yes	If they are > 2 miles from a redemption center	
Iowa	133	Yes, but	Opt-out based on population and proximity (10 or 15 miles) from redemption center	
Maine	320	Yes, but	If more than 5,000 ft ²	Can register with a redemption center within 10 miles
Massachusetts	114	Yes		
Michigan	unknown	Yes		
New York	Estimated 1,100 redemption centers in 2018 as well as stores.	Yes	Minimum requirements for reverse vending machines set based on store square footage if cans are not accepted and handled through other means at that site. Can redirect to a qualifying redemption center located within one-quarter or one-half mile of the store with written agreements.	
Oregon	100 Green & Blue bag drops; 27 redemption centers; 17 BottleDrop express sites; 56 dealer redemption sites; 1,805 retail return sites. 676 reverse vending machines in use. ²	Yes, but	Depends on size and location	A new opt-out system takes effect October 2025 in large cities
Vermont	70	Yes		

Convenience of Return

The convenience (i.e., ease of access and use) of bottle return is necessary to increase the redemption rates of bottles. All six states in this report require retailers to accept empty bottles of beverages that they sell or to identify an off-site option for redemption. None of the six states in this report have bottle bills that strictly regulate the location and establishment of redemption centers based on convenience.

Many redemption centers have closed in recent years. Redemption centers make profit based on the number of bottles returned and the handling fee they receive per bottle. In a state like Massachusetts where minimal beverage containers are covered by the law, it's difficult for redemption centers to collect enough containers to support themselves and remain in

² OBRC Quarterly Report: Q4-2024.” Oregon Beverage Recycling Cooperative. 2024

operation. Bottle Bill proponents in New York State estimated in August 2025 that more than 160 redemption centers in the state had closed in the previous two years because handling fees were inadequate to meet costsⁱ.

The most convenient means of recycling bottles is curbside recycling, which still exists in all bottle bill states. Goods that are recycled this way are processed at Materials Recovery Facilities (MRFs). When MRFs receive bottles, states typically don't allow them to redeem them and claim abandoned deposits.

2.2 Accepted Beverages

Each state decides which beverages are covered by the law. Of the six states in this report, Maine is the most lenient, with all beverages except dairy products and cider covered by the bottle redemption law. See Table 12 for a summary of the beverages accepted by each state's bottle bill.

Table 12: Redeemable Beverage Containers by State

Beverage	CA	CT	HI	IA	ME	MA	MI	NY	OR	VT
Carbonated Soft Drinks	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Beer & Malt Drinks	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sparkling Water	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Non-Sparkling Water	X	X	X		X	mineral water		mineral or flavored, unsugared	X	
Sports Drinks	X	X	X		X	carbonated		carbonated	X	carbonated
Energy Drinks	X	X	X		X	carbonated		carbonated	X	carbonated
Juice	X	X	X		X			carbonated; <100% w/added sugar or water	X	carbonated
Tea & Coffee	X	X	X		X			carbonated	X	
Wine	X		X	X	X			X		
Mixed Spirits	X		X	X	X		X		X	X
Spirits (Liquor)	X			X	X					X

X = Covered

2.3 Deposits

Table 13: Container Deposit Amounts by State

State	Deposit Amount
California	5 cents for beverage containers less than 24 ounces; 10 cents for 24 ounces or larger, and 25 cents for wines and distilled spirits in a box, bladder, or pouch
Connecticut	10 cents
Hawaii	5 cents
Iowa	5 cents
Maine	15 cents for liquor and wine above 50 ml; 5 cents for everything else
Massachusetts	5 cents
Michigan	10 cents
New York	5 cents
Oregon	10 cents
Vermont	15 cents for liquor and spirits; 5 cents otherwise

3.0 Key Takeaways

No matter how a bottle bill system is set up, some deposits are not reclaimed by consumers. Every state treats these unclaimed deposits differently, but this revenue is typically not reinvested into support of recycling programs.

Convenience is a key driver in encouraging redemption. As retailers have opted out of providing on-site redemption, consumers have redeemed a lower percentage of containers, and the amount of escheats has risen. With extensive infrastructure nationally, curbside recycling has become a more convenient overall option for recovery of the beverage containers as well as all other household recyclables.

Data from the Bottle Bill states supports the following conclusions:

- Bottle bills are not current or cutting-edge programs. They continue to rely on the assumption that consumers purchase covered beverages from stores and will return those beverages to the same stores. This overlooks the vast expansion in beverage and packaging options, increasing reliance on online shopping, and changes in laws allowing retailers to identify off-site redemption locations.
- Curbside recycling infrastructure has grown rapidly since 2002. Proposed new bottle bills are duplicative recycling programs that undermine that existing recycling infrastructure.
- If a bottle bill is adopted, MRFs should be allowed to collect refunds for the redeemable containers they receive via curbside recycling programs.
- NWRA supports adding language to new bottle bills that would allow for the ongoing distribution of 80% of the unclaimed funds to municipalities with 20% provided to the MRF.
- Rather than going to a state general fund or distributors, unclaimed deposits should be channeled toward the support of recycling education and municipal recycling programs.
- Where Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) programs for packaging are implemented, beverage containers should fall under the EPR program instead of a separate bottle bill program.

References

- i. GT Environmental. *Unclaimed Container Deposits*. Feb. 2025. Commissioned by National Waste and Recycling Association, February 2025.
- ii. “Redemption Rates and Other Features of 10 U.S. State Beverage Container Deposit Programs,” Container Recycling Institute, 2024.

Connecticut

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. *The Connecticut Bottle Bill*. March 2025. <https://portal.ct.gov/deep/reduce-reuse-recycle/bottles/connecticut-bottle-bill>

Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection. *CT Bottle Bill Redemption Data*. 2025. https://portal.ct.gov/-/media/deep/reduce_reuse_recycle/bottles/bottle-bill-data---feb-25---thru-q4-2024---table.pdf?rev=fe3ad6df56ba43dc915d402d663cce4a&hash=BB9C5170DBCEC97CEB82F8A14331B186

Miller, Kristen. CT Senior Legislative Attorney. *Unclaimed Bottle Deposits*. 2023. <https://cga.ct.gov/2023/rpt/pdf/2023-R-0191.pdf>

Connecticut General Statutes Chapter 446d, Sections 22a-243 – 22a-246c

Connecticut State Department of Revenue Services. *Department of Revenue Services Annual Reports*. 2025. <https://portal.ct.gov/drs/drs-reports/annual-reports/departement-of-revenue-services-annual-reports>

Hawaii

Hawaii Department of Health. *Hawaii Deposit Beverage Container Program*. 2025. <https://health.hawaii.gov/hi5/>

Hawaii Administrative Rules Title 11, Chapter 282, Subchapters 1 – 6

Hawaii Office of the Auditor. *Financial and Program Audit of the Department of Health’s Deposit Beverage Container Program*. 2025. <https://health.hawaii.gov/hi5/>

Maine

Maine Department of Environmental Protection. *Maine’s Beverage Container Redemption Program (Bottle Bill)*. 2025. <https://www.maine.gov/dep/sustainability/bottlebill/index.html>

Maine Revised Statutes Chapter 33, Title 38, Sections 3101 – 3119

Miller, Kevin. Maine Public. *Lawmakers agree to boost support for bottle redemption centers*. May 04, 2023. <https://www.mainepublic.org/business-and-economy/2023-05-04/lawmakers-agree-to-boost-support-for-bottle-redemption-centers>

Goodwin, Emily. Maine Revenue Services Tax Examiner II. Email correspondence. July 2025

Quinn, Megan. Waste Dive. *Maine bottle bill update reworks sorting and unclaimed deposits with eye to reuse*. Aug. 10, 2023. <https://www.wastedive.com/news/maine-bottle-bill-deposits-redemption-center-distributors/690499/>

Maine Department of Environmental Protection. *Member Dealer Agreement*. Feb. 2025. <https://www.maine.gov/dep/sustainability/bottlebill/documents/Fillable%20MemberDealerAgreement%202025.pdf>

Teboe, Chloe. News Center Maine. *Emergency bill proposed to help redemption centers stay open*. Apr. 18, 2023. <https://www.newscentermaine.com/article/news/politics/maine-politics/ld-134-emergency-bill-131st-maine-legislature-designed-to-help-redemption-centers-by-raising-handling-fee-for-beverage-containers/97-c8534e2d-94d7-4b22-9fd1-55d119bd3c9f>

Massachusetts

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection. *Deposit Bottle & Can Recycling*. 2025.

<https://www.mass.gov/deposit-bottle-can-recycling>

Massachusetts Department of Revenue. *DOR Annual Reports*. 2024. <https://www.mass.gov/lists/dor-annual-reports>

Richard, Barry. 1420 WBSM. *What Massachusetts does with unclaimed bottle deposit money*. June 17, 2024.

<https://wbsm.com/what-massachusetts-does-unclaimed-bottle-deposit-money/>

Massachusetts General Law Title XV, Chapter 94, Sections 321 – 327

Commonwealth of Massachusetts. House Bill 3463.

New York

New York Department of Environmental Conservation. *New York State Returnable Container Act*. 2025.

<https://dec.ny.gov/environmental-protection/recycling-composting/bottle-bill/get-money-back>

New York State Environmental Conservation Law Article 27, Title 10, Sections 27-1001 – 27-1019

New York State Department of Taxation and Finance. *Beverage container deposits*. July 2025.

<https://www.tax.ny.gov/bus/bev/bevcnt.htm>

New York State Department of Taxation and Finance. *Fiscal Year Tax Collections, Section VI Table 18*. Oct. 2025.

https://www.tax.ny.gov/research/stats/statistics/stat_fy_collections.htm

New York Department of Environmental Conservation. *Environmental Protection Fund (EPF)*. 2025.

<https://dec.ny.gov/environmental-protection/fund>

[The push to modernize “Bottle Bill” as redemption centers close - WNYT.com NewsChannel 13](#), August, 15, 2025, WNYT

Vermont

Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. *Vermont’s “Bottle Bill.”* 2025.

<https://dec.vermont.gov/waste-management/solid/product-stewardship/vermonts-bottle-bill>

Vermont Statutes Title 10, Chapter 53, Sections 1521 – 1530

Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. *Clean Water State Revolving Fund*. 2025.

<https://dec.vermont.gov/water-investment/water-financing/clean-water-state-revolving-fund>

Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. *Commingling of Beverage Brands in VT Bottle Bill*. Mar. 26, 2019.

<https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/wmp/SolidWaste/Documents/BottleBillCommingling.pdf>

Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation. *2018 Legislative Changes to Vermont Solid Waste & Bottle Bill Laws*. June 2018.

<https://dec.vermont.gov/sites/dec/files/wmp/SolidWaste/Documents/2018-Leg-Changes-Summary-UR-Bottle-Bill.pdf>


Feldman, Jake. Vermont Department of Taxes Senior Fiscal Analyst. Email Correspondence. July 2025.

i

Appendix A



California



Oregon



Iowa




Michigan

REPORT

UNCLAIMED CONTAINER DEPOSITS

National Waste and Recycling Association



Prepared by:



Commissioned by:



National Waste
& Recycling Association™

Table of Contents

Disclaimer 1

1.0 Unclaimed Container Deposits 2

 1.1 California 3

 1.2 Iowa 12

 1.3 Michigan.....14

 1.4 Oregon 18

2.0 Beverage Container Circularity..... 22

 2.1 Impacts to Redemption and Recycling 22

 2.2 Beverage Containers Circularity 24

 2.3 What Impacts a Material’s Circularity?.....32

 2.4 Circularity of PET.....34

 2.5 Circularity of Glass 35

 2.6 Circularity of Aluminum 36

3.0 Key Takeaways 37

Appendix A: State Statute Definitions 38

References 40

Disclaimer

GT provides the information contained in this report in good faith, and every attempt has been made to ensure accuracy. The facts and data gathered in this report are primarily from external sources, including publicly accessible annual reports released by system operators and stewardship agencies. Other information was obtained from interviews, personal communication, and e-mail correspondence. The information contained in this report is based on the best available data and information.

Discussed legislation was enacted effective January 1, 2024. Pending or proposed legislative changes are not considered. Data gathered and discussed in this report is for the year 2023 and years prior. Financial reports published and used were prepared on an annual basis but are generally based on a fiscal year (FY) rather than the calendar year.

1.0 Unclaimed Container Deposits

Container deposit systems, commonly known as Bottle bills, have been a part of the United States' recycling approach since the early 1970s. These systems see customers pay a small amount of money upfront, a deposit, on applicable beverage containers that is reimbursed when they return the empty container to a collection point.

Each state with a container deposit system has control to design and implement their own system, thus resulting in different handling of the unclaimed deposits. This report specifically explores the unclaimed deposits in the Bottle bill systems in California, Iowa, Michigan, and Oregon.

The Container Recycling Institute (CRI) analyzes each state's redemption rate. As shown in the table below, in 2022 anywhere from 14% to 63% of beverage containers covered are not being returned. So, what happens to the deposits on the beverage containers not being returned through the system?

State	Redemption Rates ⁱ	
	2022	2023
California	60%	59%
Iowa	37%	-
Michigan	76%	73%
Oregon	86%	87%

WHO KEEPS THE UNCLAIMED DEPOSITS?

California	State statute requires the state manage and control finances of the beverage container recycling system. Deposits of sold containers are paid in to the state fund. After refunds are paid out any unclaimed refund values stay with the state.	
Iowa	Per state statute unclaimed deposits are property of distributor.	
Michigan	State statute requires distributors to turn over unclaimed deposits.	Specifically unclaimed deposits are "escheated" to the state.
Oregon	Distributors keep unclaimed deposits.	

Escheat refers to a common law doctrine where property that goes unclaimed by its owner or heirs to take ownership will revert to the state. "Escheats in the deposit-recycling world only refer to those unclaimed deposits that state governments keep by law" (CRI)ⁱⁱ. California law does not permit beverage distributors or retailers to keep unclaimed deposits, they are property of the state in state-managed systems. Michigan's law escheats 75% of the unclaimed deposits to the state. Both Iowa and Oregon state law do not escheat unclaimed deposits to the state.

Throughout this report, the term “unclaimed” or “unredeemed deposits” will be used interchangeably with the term “escheat” to refer to accumulated container deposits that are not redeemed by the consumer within each state’s Bottle bill redemption system. The term “unclaimed deposit” **will not** be used to refer to containers that are recovered through other means such as being collected in a curbside recycling program.

HOW ARE UNCLAIMED DEPOSITS USED?

California	Statute requires unclaimed deposits be used for administering the beverage container recycling program. See section 1.1 for the list of who receives monies.
Iowa	Per state statute Iowa beverage distributors keep all unclaimed deposits.
Michigan	Statute states 75% stay with the state in a fund and 25% to retailers. The fund is overseen by the state treasury. Statute specifies how the 75% of unclaimed deposits in the fund must be distributed. See section 1.3 for the list of how the money is distributed. The distributed fund money does not flow back into the beverage container recycling system.
Oregon	Distributors keep unclaimed deposits. Beverage distributors joined together and formed the Oregon Beverage Recycling Cooperative (OBRC). OBRC serves as a not-for-profit statewide operator. The unclaimed deposits are reported to be invested directly back into the operation of the Bottle bill redemption system statewide ⁱⁱⁱ .

1.1 California

Who Keeps The Unclaimed Deposits

The California Beverage Container Recycling and Litter Reduction Act, enacted in 1986 was intended to encourage recycling and reduce litter. The Bottle bill program is a state-managed system and identifies CalRecycle as the administering department. In California, beverage distributors pay the redemption value (or deposit) to the state. This redemption value is passed on to the consumer. When the consumer returns the empty beverage container to a certified recycling center the redemption value is paid to the consumer. Any unclaimed redemption values (deposits) remain with the state.


The Bottle bill program operates from a state fund, the California Beverage Recycling Fund (California Fund) and related accounts¹. Activities in the California Fund are accounted for in five separate special funds:

- California Beverage Container Recycling Fund
- Glass Processing Fee Account, Beverage Container Recycling Fund
- Penalty Account, Beverage Container Recycling Fund
- Bimetal Processing Fee Account, Beverage Container Recycling Fund
- PET Processing Fee Account, Beverage Container Recycling Fund

¹ Note: Beginning in 2018-19, the California Fund became a shared fund with the California EPA.

There are several revenue streams into the fund which include redemption values; processing fees; interest income earned on funds deposited in surplus money investment fund; penalties; and Option B payments (Legislation allows fine/payments by beverage retailers in lieu of redeeming in-store). This report examines the unclaimed redemption values and does not track the other activity in the California Fund. CalRecycle publishes “Status of the Beverage Container Recycling Fund” semi-annually. Using these historical reports, GT calculated unclaimed deposits in fiscal years 2019 to 2023, by subtracting “California Refund Value-in” and “California Refund Value-out” as shown in the semi-annual reports. Thus, inferring the remainder is the unclaimed deposits.

Figure 1: California Unclaimed Deposits^{iv}



Year	Redemption Value Paid	Redemption Value Returned to Consumer	Unclaimed Deposits	Unclaimed Monies
2019	\$1,342,961,000	\$1,073,509,000	20%	\$269,452,000
2020	\$1,323,898,000	\$1,066,573,000	19%	\$257,325,000
2021	\$1,330,220,000	\$1,071,678,000	19%	\$258,542,000
2022	\$1,491,585,000	\$1,091,381,000	27%	\$400,204,000
2023	\$1,480,678,000	\$1,080,523,000	27%	\$400,155,000

Using this estimation, as shown in Figure 1, in more recent years roughly 73% was redemption values paid, leaving 27% redemptions unclaimed. California statute requires the unclaimed deposits be retained in the state treasury and be spent on recycling-related programs. In theory, as the redemption rate in California increases, then more redemptions are paid out of the fund, leaving less funding available to make statutorily required payments to the other programs^v.

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used

There are several different parties involved in operating the Bottle bill: distributors, processors, and recyclers; and each serving a certain role in the system. For a consumer to receive their redemption value they must return the empty beverage container to a certified recycling center (also referred to as a recycler). California does not obligate beverage retailers to accept empty beverage containers, instead, independent recycling centers have been established. Though not required to supermarkets can be recycling centers. Rural region recyclers and non-profit convenience zone recyclers (a zone about a half mile around convenience stores and markets) may also be certified recycling centers^{vi}.

California's Bottle bill law identifies specific use of the revenue coming into the fund into the following payments:

- Refund beverage container redemption values,
- Pay for administrative fees to processors (processors sell empty beverage container to manufacturer or end user),
- Reserve for contingencies,
- Expend to CalRecycle for administration of program, and
- Fund the following statutorily listed purposes:
 - 1) Handling fee payment
 - 2) \$15 million for curbside recycling programs and neighborhood recycling drop-off programs
 - 3) \$10.5 million for cities (\$5,000) and counties (\$10,000) for recycling and litter cleanup activities (or per capita basis calculations may be used). Statute directs allowable recycling and litter cleanup activities.
 - 4) \$1.5 million for grants for litter beverage container recycling and litter reduction programs
 - 5) Processing payment (amount necessary)
 - 6) Up to \$5 million for state-wide education and information campaign aimed at promoting increased recycling of beverage containers
 - 7) Up to \$15 million for quality incentive payments for empty glass beverage containers
 - 8) Dollar amount not statutorily set, but fund can be expended for market development payments to reclaimers and product manufacturers from FY 2019-20 through FY2025-26
 - 9) Up to \$5 million for pilot projects
 - 10) Up to \$4 million for glass processing incentive grants
 - 11) Up to \$4 million for empty glass beverage container grants
 - 12) Up to \$1 million to facilitate transportation of empty glass beverage containers
 - 13) Up to \$60 million for glass market development payments
 - 14) Grants for local conservation corps

After redemption value payments and administrative fees, if CalRecycle is unable to make all the handling fee and processing payments due to insufficient monies, they may inform the Legislature of the deficit and reduce the other payments and grant program expenditures accordingly.

Payment Calculations

Administrative Fee to Processor - Payment is to processor (PRC 14580 and 14573). A processor is a certified business that buys empty beverage containers from certified recycling centers, drop-off locations, and curbside programs.

Formula to Determine Payment:

$$\text{Administrative Fee} = 2.5\% \times \text{Refund Value}$$

*Statute allows for an additional payment to rural region recycler, which is a recycling center for transportation, operations, and logistics for glass containers (PRC 14573.1).

Formula to Determine Payment:

$$\text{Payment to Rural Region Recycler} = \$60 \text{ per ton for glass containers}$$

Reserve for Contingency - The reserve shall not be greater than an amount equal to 5% of the total amount paid to processors during the preceding calendar year, plus the interest earned on that amount (PRC 14580).

Formula to Determine Payment:

$$\text{Reserve for Contingency} = < 5\% \text{ Total Paid to Processors} + \text{Interest}$$

↓

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total Paid to Processors} = & \text{Refund Value} \\ & + 2.5\% \text{ of Refund Value} \\ & + \text{Processing Payment} \end{aligned}$$

Handling Fee Payment – These handling fee payments are paid to recycling centers (certified business accepting beverage containers for redemption and recycling) that are supermarkets, nonprofit convenience zone recyclers, and rural region recyclers. Handling fee payments are meant to incentivize the redemption of empty beverage containers across the state, to expand and stabilize consumer redemption opportunities. Handling fees are assessed monthly, once eligible sites submit their monthly combined volume or empty beverage containers collected^{vii}. (PRC 14581(a)(1) and 14585)

Formula to Determine Payment:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eligible Containers A} = & \text{PET} + \text{Glass} + \text{Aluminum} + \text{HDPE} + \text{Bimetal} \\ \text{OR} \\ \text{Eligible Containers B} = & (\text{PET} + \text{Glass}) / 10\% \end{aligned}$$

CalRecycle compares Eligible Containers A to Eligible Containers B and uses the lowest value

$$\text{Handling Fee} = \text{Eligible Containers} \times \text{Current payment per container}$$

*To determine the current payment per container rate CalRecycle conducts a handling fee survey to collect the actual cost incurred for redemption of empty beverage containers. (Historically CalRecycle hires a contractor to conduct the in-person survey using a random selection process^{viii}.) From this survey, a state-wide weighted average cost is determined for empty beverage containers. (PRC 14585(f))

Formula to Calculate Current Payment Per Container:

1. CalRecycle surveys handling fee recipients (supermarket sites, nonprofit convenience zone recyclers, or rural region recyclers)
 2. CalRecycle obtains actual cost incurred for redemption
 3. CalRecycle determines statewide weighted average cost
 4. CalRecycle adjusts annually for cost of living
-

Curbside Recycling Programs Payments - Payment to compensate for the operation of curbside and neighborhood drop off recycling programs that accept empty beverage containers for recycling. This payment is assessed annually by CalRecycle, based on the total volume of beverage containers collected. This payment intends to continue supporting activities related to beverage container recycling. (PRC 14581(a)(2) and 14549.6)

Formula to Determine Payment:

Annual Volume of Beverage Containers Collected x Per-Container Rate

*To determine the per-container rate CalRecycle divides the total volume of beverage containers collected by curbside and neighborhood drop-off programs during 12-month calendar year divided by \$15 million.

Formula to Calculate Current Payment Per Container:

1. Processors report containers collected by curbside and neighborhood drop-off programs
 2. CalRecycle divides the total collected into \$15 million
-

This statutory requirement also helps to ensure that empty beverage containers collected through curbside and drop-off recycling programs are accounted for in CalRecycle's reporting, as well as incentivizing the containers captured to remain within the state's Bottle bill system instead of being sent to out-of-state processors. Without this provision in California's statute, it would be difficult to capture the impact of the Bottle bill across all recycling programs. Although not clearly defined in statute, there may be unintended circularity implications from this statutory payment. A local recycling program could choose to keep their collected beverage containers in-state to ensure they collect this fee, instead of selling their containers to an out-of-state market.

Litter Reduction and Beverage Container Recycling Efforts (Payments to Cities and Counties) - Payments on either a per capita basis or through a flat rate of \$5,000 for each participating city and \$10,000 for each

participating county. It is up to CalRecycle to decide which of these payments is greater. CalRecycle has a \$10.5 million annual cap in the activity payments they can make. These payments support existing litter reduction and beverage container recovery activities, and promote the expansion and addition of new programs. (PRC14581(a)(3))

Formula to Determine Payment:

Flat Payment = \$5,000 for city or \$10,000 for county

OR

Per Capita Payment = Population of incorporated city or unincorporated county
Whichever is greater

Beverage Container Recycling Grants - Payment to nonprofit, other legal entity, public agency or tribal government. The purpose of the grant is to issue up to \$1,500,000 annually in the form of grants for beverage container recycling and litter reduction programs to promote increased recycling of beverage containers throughout California and reduce beverage container litter in the waste stream. Funding must have a direct connection to the California Redemption Value of beverage containers and the overall program. (PRC 14581(a)(4))

Formula to Determine Grant:

\$75,000 is minimum and \$275,000 is maximum

Processing Payment - Payment to processors for any beverage container type with a scrap value less than the cost of recycling. The processor pays the processing payment to the recycling center. The processing payment is based on the difference between the scrap values for a given material and the actual costs of recycling modified for cost of living and a reasonable financial return. The processing payment fluctuates based on market conditions. They are intended to fill the gap between the cost of recycling and the scrap value, thus as global scrap values increase, the amount of processing payments decreases. Processing payments are adjusted at the beginning of each calendar year based on the previous 12-month period average scrap value for reach material.

This payment also helps keep track of the beverage containers redeemed through the California Bottle bill system, ensuring that containers are recycled and that recycling byproducts are used in manufacturing processes in-state. (PRC 14581(a)(5) and 14575)

Formula to Determine Payment:

Processing Payment = ((Actual Cost of Recycling and Cost of Living) x Fixed
Reasonable Financial Percent)) – Scrap Value

Processing Payment per Pound Redeemed = Processing Payment / 2000 pounds/ton

*Formula applies to each material. CalRecycle may adjust the processing payment once every three months based on the 12-month or preceding 3-month average scrap value, whichever is lower. The actual cost of recycling is determined by:

Formula to Calculate Actual Cost of Recycling:

1. CalRecycle surveys recycling centers (operation which is certified by the department and which accepts from consumers, and pays or provides the refund value)
 2. CalRecycle obtains actual cost for certified recycling centers
 3. CalRecycle determines statewide weighted average cost to recycle each beverage type
 4. CalRecycle adjusts annually for cost of living
-

Statewide Education and Information Campaign - Up to \$5 million may be expended annually for a statewide public education and information campaign aimed at promoting increased recycling of beverage containers. GT found no formula for calculating the funds to expend. (PRC 14581(a)(6))

Quality Incentive Payments - The goal for these payments is to ensure a certain standard of quality in the materials captured and later sold for use in manufacturing processes. These payments are to curbside recycling programs, drop-off, or other certified entities that sort and clean glass beverage containers to quality incentive payment standards. Statute states glass needs to be substantially free of contamination and be used for manufacturing glass beverage containers in the state. Up to \$15 million may be expended annually. Collection sites are eligible for a \$60/ton payment for glass. (PRC 14581(a)(7) and 14549.1)

Formula to Determine Payment Glass Quality Incentive Payment:

Tons Qualifying for Payment = Tons of Mixed Glass Received from Processor x
Statewide Average Quality Incentive Payment Rate

Tons Qualifying for Payment = Tons of Mixed Glass Received from Curbside Program
x Statewide Average Curbside Quality Incentive Payment Rate

*The statewide average quality incentive payment rates are estimates, determined by CalRecycle annually, of California Redemption Value material collected from curbside and drop-off or collection programs in a load of commingled material from all recyclers.

Market Development Payments to Reclaimers and Manufacturers – Payments to reclaimer and product manufacturer. Intended to support the use of plastic resins in in-state manufacturing and reclaiming processes. This support of the in-state plastic recycling and manufacturing market is to encourage the use of reclaimed plastic resins captured from the Bottle bill system in new products. California has a minimum recycled content requirement for plastic products manufactured for use in the state, as well. (PRC 14581(a)(8) and 14549.2)

Formula to Determine Payment:

Qualifying Eligible Material = ((Total PET or HDPE Weight) – Nonqualifying Materials)
x Market Development Payment Rate

Manufacturer Payment

Payment = Qualifying Eligible Material x Manufacturer Payment Per Ton Rate

Reclaimer Payment

Payment = Qualifying Eligible Material x Reclaimer Payment Per Ton Rate

*July 1, 2024, the manufacture and reclaimer payment structure both allots \$18.25 million for claims. Manufacturer and reclaimer rate structure is tiered. The tiered rate structure pays \$75 per ton to reclaimers processing A-grade bales of deposit PET containers, \$50 per ton for any other grade of PET container bales and \$25 for “other” deposit container bales. It pays \$150 per ton to manufacturers producing RPET bottles that exceed state-mandated recycled content requirements (currently 15% post-consumer resin), \$75 per ton to producers of RPET bottles with less recycled content and \$50 to producers of all other RPET products (all RPET must be sourced from eligible CRV deposit material).

Formula to Calculate market development payment rate:

1. CalRecycle annually calculates a new statewide average to ensure only California Refund Value beverage containers are eligible
 2. The market development payment rates are calculated using the received weight divided by the redeemed weight as reported by certified recyclers
 3. CalRecycle calculates a market development payment rate as a percentage rate for PET and HDPE
-

Pilot Projects – Grant program provided to jurisdictions working with recycling center operators. With an annual limit of \$5 million, the intent is to bolster and expand consumer redemption opportunities. This fund is available to support up to 10 recycling pilot projects that improve redemption opportunities for container in unserved convenience zones across the state, including rural areas. The intent is to provide ample recycling opportunities and increase consumer redemption options in jurisdictions that are underserved or unserved. This fund is set to expire after June 30, 2025. (PRC 14581(a)(9) and 14571.9)

Formula to Determine Grant:

Funding is subject to change based on staff approval of the pilot project proposal

Glass Processing Incentive Grant – Grants provided to applicants who demonstrate the ability to expand glass cullet processing in the state. Includes private, for-profit and non-profit (except for schools) entities. Up to \$4 million annually may be expended. Grant purpose is to stimulate the increased use of glass cullet in making new glass beverage containers in the state. (PRC 14581(a)(10) and 14543)

Formula to Determine Grant:

\$1 million minimum per applicant
\$4 million maximum per applicant
Applicant matches an amount equal to or greater than grant award amount

Empty Glass Beverage Container Grant – Grants provided to local governments, qualifying tribal, private for-profit, and non-profit entities. Up to \$4 million annually may be expended. Grant purpose is to assist in funding regional pilot programs furnishing bins for collection of empty glass beverage containers from restaurants and on-sale retail licensed establishments. (PRC 14581(a)(11) and 14544)

Formula to Determine Grant:

\$500,000 minimum per applicant
\$2 million maximum per applicant
Applicant matches an amount equal to or greater than grant award amount

Facilitate Transportation of Empty Glass Beverage Container Grant – Up to \$1 million annually may be expended. This grant is in the development phase. (PRC 14581(a)(12) and 14545)

Glass Market Development Payments – Payment to glass beverage container manufacturer who purchases recycled glass collected within California for use in manufacturing new beverage containers in the state. Up to \$60 million annually may be expended. (PRC 14581(a)(13) and 14549.7)

Formula to Determine Payment:

Post GMD Rate Amount = ((Total Glass Weight) – Nonqualifying Materials) x Market
Development Rate

If Weight of New Manufactured Glass Beverage Containers > Post GMD Rate Amount,
then Post GMD Rate Amount = Amount Eligible for Payment

If Weight of New Manufactured Glass Beverage Containers < Post GMD Rate Amount
then Weight of New Manufactured Glass Beverage Containers = Amount Eligible for
Payment

Payment = Amount Eligible for Payment x Payment Per Ton Rate

*CalRecycle determines the payment, but it shall not exceed \$50 per ton. The glass market development rate is subject to change annually.

Grants – Local Conservation Corps – Annual \$20,974,000 plus cost of living less \$15 million set aside. Grants to community conservation corps to perform litter abatement, recycling, and related activities. Not more than 75% of a community conservation corps annual budget may be granted. (PRC 14581.1)

Formula to Determine Grant:

\$10 million total available
\$7 million equally distributed
\$3 million allocated per the Criteria RFA formula:

1.2 Iowa

Who Keeps The Unclaimed Deposits


The Iowan Beverage Containers Control Law, enacted in 1978, was intended to control litter and promote recycling in Iowa. Iowa is a completely private system with respect to the transfer of funds and no unredeemed money ever flows to the state treasury. The consumer pays the deposit to the retailer, who passes that to the beverage distributor. When the empty beverage container is returned the beverage distributor pays the deposit refund value plus a handling fee to the retailer. The retailer refunds the deposit to the consumer. Any unredeemed deposit monies are retained by beverage distributors.

From GT's communications, it appears beverage distributors may choose to contract with Material Recovery Facilities (MRFs) for final processing and marketing of beverage containers^{ix}. From Iowa's Department of Natural Resources study, "Iowa Recycling Facility", it appears a small portion is sent to MRFs. In this report, MRFs reported 6% of accepted PET bottle plastic is deposit, 8% of accepted metal is aluminum can deposit, and 27% of accepted glass is glass bottles deposit.

In Iowa, beverage distributors are not required to report beverage container sales or redemption data except for wine and liquor containers, which are reported annually to the Iowa Alcoholic Beverages Division. In addition, MRFs are not required to declare the amount of beverage containers they receive from distributors. This makes Iowa the only state in this study where calculating a redemption rate is not straightforward.

In 2022, Iowa DNR worked with the CRI to estimate the number of containers sold and recovered for calendar year 2021 (no other years were included). CRI relied on the state's material characterization study to estimate the weight of landfilled deposit beverage containers disposed. CRI used this data and CRI's Beverage Market Data Analysis to estimate the weights of deposit beverage containers sold. Using the percentage of deposit beverage containers landfilled statewide and sold, CRI calculated a recovery rate of 49%. In Iowa, recovered is a combination of containers processed at MRFs that are collected through curbside and drop off recycling programs, and returned to distributors. Using the recovery rate (49%^x) and CRI's estimate of containers captured through curbside and drop-off (11.5%^{xi}), GT calculated an estimated number of redeemed containers. GT recognizes that a portion of these containers went directly to MRFs, but this estimated calculation gives us some understanding of the dollars flowing through this program given the five-cent deposit.

Figure 2: Iowa Unclaimed Deposits 2021



Year	Estimated Deposit Fees Paid	Estimated Deposit Fees Refunded	Estimated Unclaimed Deposits	Estimated Unclaimed Monies
2021	\$97,212,806	\$36,454,802	62.5%	\$60,758,004

While available data is limited, estimates from 2021 show that 37.5% of all bottle bill funding was spent on redemption payments, leaving 62.5% of redemptions unclaimed in Iowa.

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used

Iowa statute requires distributors to pay a dealer, dealer agent, or redemption center five cents per bottle returned (see Appendix A for Iowa statutory definitions of each). Additionally, distributors must pay the dealer agent one cent and the other entities a three-cent per bottle container handling fee. This is separate from the deposit fee^{xii}.

Payment Calculations

Formula to Determine Annual Disbursements to Dealer and Redemption Center:

$$\text{Handling Fee} = \text{Total Containers Returned} \times 3 \text{ cents}$$

Formula to Determine Annual Disbursements to Dealer's Agent:

$$\text{Handling Fee} = \text{Total Containers Returned} \times 1 \text{ cent}$$

Formula to Determine Annual Unclaimed Deposits Retained by Distributors:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Unclaimed Deposits} = & (\text{Total Containers Sold} \times 5 \text{ cent deposit}) - 5 \text{ cents for every} \\ & \text{Redeemed Container} \\ & - \text{Both Handling Fees} \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Michigan

Who Keeps The Unclaimed Deposits


The Michigan Beverage Container Law, Michigan's Bottle bill, was enacted in 1976. The original intent of the bottle bill was to reduce litter along Michigan's roadways.

Michigan's system is designed where the private sector is responsible for most financial transactions. Beverage retailers are required to accept returned containers. Consumers pay the container deposit to retailers, who pass that onto the distributors. When containers are returned, distributors refund deposits to the retailers. Because not all used beverage containers are returned to the distributor from which they originate, some distributors refund less than the deposits they receive from retailers, others refund more. Distributors remit unredeemed deposits to the state treasury. The state treasury then administers all outflow payments from the treasury fund. Per statute, unclaimed deposits are disbursed to retailers, Michigan State Police, and the state EPA (known as Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE)).

Michigan's law imposes certain record-keeping requirements, calling for distributors or manufacturers who originate a deposit on a beverage container to file annual reports with the state treasury. The reports must include the dollar value of both the total deposits collected and the total refunds made upon the beverage containers. Based on this and any previously available credits, beverage distributors and manufacturers are determined to be an "underredeemer" or an "overredeemer." The underredeemer would owe the state the value of the underredemption. "Overredeemers", beverage distributors or manufacturers who refund more money in bottle deposits than they receive from beverage sales, can request a refund of the difference.

Michigan's bottle bill treasury fund is a revolving fund, and its investments are directed by the state treasurer. These monies do not lapse to the general fund if unspent at the end of a fiscal year. The fund is structured to collect unredeemed deposits from distributors and allocate payments to various organizations. However, the fund allows bottle distributors to request a refund if that distributor received less deposits returned than paid. It also allows bottle distributors to pay the state treasury if the deposits and refunds exceeded the refunds paid.

Figure 3: Michigan Unclaimed Deposits



Year	Deposit Fees Paid	Deposit Fees Refunded	Unclaimed Deposits	Unclaimed Monies ¹
2019	\$381,100,000	\$338,100,000	11%	\$43,000,000
2020	\$401,800,000	\$293,400,000	27%	\$108,400,000
2021	\$413,700,000	\$311,800,000	25%	\$101,900,000
2022	\$398,300,000	\$301,100,000	24%	\$97,200,000
2023	\$389,500,000	\$284,600,000	27%	\$104,900,000

¹Calculated value.

As shown, in more recent years, roughly 73% was deposit payments refunded, leaving 27% of deposits unclaimed.

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used

Unredeemed deposits are distributed into three separate Bottle Bill treasury funds: the Bottle Bill Enforcement Fund, the Cleanup and Redevelopment Trust Fund (“Trust Fund”), and the Community Pollution Prevention Fund. Unredeemed deposit distributions begin with the first \$1 million distributed towards the Bottle Bill Enforcement Fund, then the remaining 75% is distributed to Michigan EGLE, the state’s EPA, for environmental programs, and 25% to beverage retailers.

For every 10-cent deposit that comes into the state treasury, the statute requires annual disbursement as follows:

- First \$1M towards Bottle Bill Enforcement Fund (Implemented January 1, 2023)

This is directed to the Michigan State Police Department. However, if the Bottle Bill Enforcement Fund is greater than \$3 million the disbursement is suspended until the balance falls below \$2 million. The intent is to investigate fraud and violations of the bottle bill. This amendment was implemented January 1, 2023, so its impacts are still not well-known. According to state statute, the Michigan State Police must submit a report to the Legislature by January 1, 2026, indicating the effectiveness of this fund. This report will include, at a minimum, the number of beverage and deposit containers seized as well as the deposit value of those containers in the State of Michigan.

- 75% to EGLE


EGLE's unclaimed deposits are split into two funds: the Cleanup and Redevelopment Trust Fund and the Community Pollution Prevention Fund.

- 80% of EGLE's monies flow into the Cleanup and Redevelopment Trust Fund. The fund is used to help pay for cleanup and brownfield remediation projects, as well as to fund staffing costs for the remediation and redevelopment department.
- 10% of EGLE's monies flows into the Community Pollution Prevention Fund. EGLE issues grants to support drinking water wellhead protection, identify and plug abandoned wells other than oil and gas wells, as well as developing programs to educate the general public and businesses that use or handle hazardous materials on pollution prevention methods, technologies, and process, with an emphasis on the direct reduction of toxic material releases or disposal at the source^{xiii}. This grant was last awarded in fiscal year 2022. Statute does not require annual disbursements; though EGLE needs to report annually on what was done with the money, if anything.
- 10% of the annual available EGLE monies are to remain in the Cleanup and Redevelopment Trust Fund as investment monies until the Fund balance reaches \$200 million. The intent behind this statutory requirement is to continue growing the unredeemed deposits to provide additional funding for cleanup projects.

- 25% to Retailers

Retailers must submit a request for reimbursement from the Bottle Bill treasury fund on or before June 1st annually to be reimbursed. This reimbursement is based on the actual number of empty containers handled by an individual retailer or dealer in a calendar year. These payment amounts are determined by treasury once the total amount of unclaimed deposits within the Bottle Bill treasury fund are known/calculated.

Figure 4: Michigan Unclaimed Deposit Distribution



Payment Calculations

Formula to Determine Annual Disbursements of Unclaimed Deposits:

Available Unclaimed Deposits = Total Annual Unclaimed Funds - \$1 million

Formula to Determine Annual Disbursements to Michigan EGLE:

Michigan EGLE monies = Available Unclaimed Deposits x 75%

Cleanup and Redevelopment Trust Fund = 80% x Michigan EGLE monies

Cleanup and Redevelopment Trust Fund investment = 10% x Michigan EGLE monies

Community Pollution Prevention Fund = 10% x Total remaining unclaimed monies

Formula to Determine Annual Disbursements to Retailers:

Available Unclaimed Deposits x 25% = Available Retailer money

Retailers Payment = Available Retailer money / Number of empty returnable beverage containers handled annually


1.4 Oregon

Who Keeps The Unclaimed Deposits

The Oregon Beverage Container Act, enacted in 1971, was intended to address a growing litter problem along Oregon beaches, highways and other public areas. Per statute consumers are entitled to receive a refund when they return redeemable containers.^{xiv} Statute does not require a deposit to be charged but does require distributors to pay the refund value. Distributors and retailers charge a deposit to build a fund to pay the refunds out of. Distributors charge beverage retailers the deposit, beverage retailers in turn charge the consumer the deposit value. When consumers return the deposit, they receive a refund from the retailer. When the distributor picks up the empty beverage containers they pay the deposit refund to the retailer. Any unclaimed deposits remain with the beverage distributors.

The Oregon Liquor and Cannabis Commission (OLCC) is the agency responsible for administering and enforcing the bottle bill. Oregon's system is privately operated by the beverage distributors. How the system worked is that distributors were responsible for redeeming the containers in their area. Franchise areas exist for beer and soft drink distributors but not water distributors. With the expansion of water beverage containers in the bottle bill in 2009, the distributors banded together to form the Oregon Beverage Recycling Cooperative (OBRC). The OBRC created a unified system for redeeming containers around the state.

Figure 5: Oregon Unclaimed Deposits




Year	Deposit Fees Paid	Deposit Fees Refunded	Unclaimed Deposits	Unclaimed Monies
2019	\$197,826,087	\$179,626,087	9%	\$18,200,000
2020	\$221,640,000	\$179,150,000	19%	\$42,490,000
2021	\$237,500,000	\$199,300,000	16%	\$38,200,000
2022	\$232,300,000	\$205,500,000	12%	\$26,800,000
2023	\$230,600,000	\$208,800,000	9%	\$21,800,000

The flow of unclaimed deposits in Oregon is calculated based on OBRC's annual report.

How Are Unclaimed Deposits Used

Unclaimed deposits are retained by the system operator, OBRC, and reinvested back into the expansion of the redemption system. OBRC supplements their annual operating budget with distributor membership fees in addition to receiving unclaimed deposit monies from OLCC.

Figure 6: OBRC Annual Budget (Average 2018-2023)



OBRC decides the allocation and appropriation of unclaimed deposits, which is not written into statutes. Based on a six-year (2018-2023) OBRC annual operating budget historical average, GT calculated that for every 10-cent deposit, the unclaimed deposits were distributed as follows:

- 41%: 4.1 cents invested into the expansion of BottleDrop redemption centers and Green Bag Program
- 23%: 2.3 cents invested into route operations (transportation & staffing costs associated with maintaining redemption system)
- 17%: 1.7 cents invested into in-state material processing costs
- 13%: 1.3 cents invested into operations, management, and overhead costs
- 4%: 0.4 cents invested into BottleDrop program expenses and transportation costs
- 2%: 0.2 cents invested into stewardship initiatives (community and nonprofit grants)
- 1%: 0.1 cents invested into development and expansion of BottleDrop Refillable program (collection, cleaning, processing, and distribution costs)

Accounting, Administration, and Compliance

Historically accounting for 13% of operational expenditure, this expense category focuses on general management and operational costs. Accounting includes costs associated with tracking, managing, and reporting on the financial activities of the bottle bill program while Compliance refers to expenses associated with adhering to the legal and regulatory requirements as outlined in Oregon Law. Administration is a catch-all term referring to expenses to cover the general management necessary to oversee OBRC such as payroll, supplies, overhead, etc.

Stewardship Initiatives

This expense category refers to initiatives within the OBRC focused on supporting local non-profits, communities, community groups, and more. Historically, this expense category accounts for 2% of the annual budget.

Retail Services and Transportation

This expense category reflects the cost required to service more than 2,100 retail stores across Oregon. OBRC's Route Operations team collects containers from retail stores and bag drop locations. OBRC employs 71 team members with a fleet of 60 trucks and 250 trailers to provide efficient and reliable services to retail members and BottleDrop account holders. This expense category accounts for 23% of the annual budget on average.

Material Processing at Statewide Plants

OBRC processes nearly two billion pounds of glass, metal, and plastic bottles annually. This expense category refers to the costs associated with processing materials collected domestically within Oregon and accounts for 17% of the annual budget.

BottleDrop Centers and Green Bag Program

OBRC operates 27 full-service BottleDrop Redemption Centers across Oregon which serve as the primary stream of consumer returns. In addition, OBRC offers bag programs for residents and non-profits where customers drop off special bags at redemption centers rather than individual bottles. This expense category includes all costs associated with the onsite management of these programs, historically comprising 41% of the annual budget.

BottleDrop Expenses and Transportation

Includes miscellaneous expenses and transportation costs associated with the BottleDrop program. Accounts for 4% of the annual budget on average.

BottleDrop Refillables

OBRC provides the nation's only refillable bottle program in the country. This expense category includes all costs related to supporting the program such as collection, cleaning, processing, and distribution. Historically this program has accounted for 1% of the annual operating budget

Payment Calculations

OBRC is a private operated system. GT was unable to located formulas showing how unclaimed monies flow through the redemption system in Oregon.

2.0 Beverage Container Circularity

In bottle deposit states beverage containers are either collected through redemption or other (curbside and drop-off) collection programs. Both systems return the beverage containers into the recycling system which typically flows in the following stages.

- **Sorter** – Beverage containers entering the system through curbside and drop-off collection programs are mixed with other recyclables and need to be sorted. A material recovery facility (MRF) is a specialized manufacturing sorter that receives, separates, and prepares recyclable materials for the processing or manufacturer stage.
- **Processor** – Beverage containers entering the system through redemption are pre-sorted by the consumer or redemption center and directly sent to the processor or manufacturer. A processor performs further separation, contamination removal, size reduction and aggregation to transform these materials into marketable grades for the manufacturer. Processors may also process material from sorters.
- **Manufacturer** – The manufacturer uses the recycled beverage container as feedstock for production of recycled content materials.

Some beverage container recycling chains follow all these stages and others may combine some of these stages into a single vertically integrated recycling chain.

2.1 Impacts to Redemption and Recycling

Convenience to Return Containers

The states researched have varying levels of convenience for redeeming or returning deposit beverage containers. Research was conducted to identify the number of redemption locations available in each state. In some instances, it was not possible to identify the number of redemption locations and because tracking is fluid, the number of redemption locations are approximate at the time of the research. Table 1 summarizes the variety of places eligible containers can be redeemed in each state.

Table 1 Redemption and Recycling Opportunities

State	Redemption locations	Other Ways to Return Containers (consumer does not receive deposit)
California	• Certified recycling centers¹ 1,299 recycling centers statewide^{xv} • Participating retailers 3,601 retailers • Convenience zones 4,889 listed on status report	• Curbside programs • Drop-off sites
Iowa	• Redemption centers² 133 approved redemption centers statewide^{xvi} Number of unapproved redemption centers is not publicly listed Mobile redemption centers (8)	• Curbside programs • Drop-off sites

State	Redemption locations	Other Ways to Return Containers (consumer does not receive deposit)
Michigan	Retail stores³ Number unknown. Research shows over 11,000 grocery stores.	- Curbside programs - Drop-off sites
Oregon	- Green and Blue Bag drop locations (~100 select retailers) - BottleDrop redemption centers (27) - BottleDrop express sites - Retailers (self-serve machines ~2,500 stores) - Dealer redemption center	- Curbside programs - Drop-off sites

¹Certified recycling center can be individuals and businesses, supermarkets, and retailers.

²Any facility can become a redemption center, but only those who apply for and receive approval from Iowa DNR can be considered “approved redemption center”. Unapproved redemption centers rely on private agreements and contracts with distributors. Stores may opt out of being a redemption center depending on certain criteria.^{xvii}

³Any person who sells or offers consumers beverage containers must offer a means to return the beverage container.^{xviii}

Under Iowa’s original Beverage Container Deposits law, both redemption centers and retailers such as grocers and convenience stores were required to redeem containers and refund deposits to all Iowans returning eligible beverage containers at their establishments. Iowa allows for both approved and unapproved redemption centers. Approved redemption centers refer to those establishments that choose to register with the Iowa DNR as a redemption center. According to the original law, no person is prevented from establishing a redemption center or registering with the department. If unregistered, a redemption center can still accept containers and process refunds; however, these establishments would not be eligible for handling fees paid by distributors in exchange for redeeming empty deposit containers at their establishment.^{xix}

Iowa’s law changed in 2022, allowing retail and grocery stores to discontinue redemption of beverage deposit containers, “opting out” under certain provisions. Senate File 2378 was signed into law, with relevant changes to redemption requirements going into effect on January 1, 2023. Prior to the passage of this law, all retail stores were required to redeem beverage deposit containers and provide refunds. Senate File 2378 now allows grocery and convenience stores to opt-out of the bottle deposit program if their establishment has a food license and it is located in a county with more than 30,000 people and operating within 10 miles of an approved redemption center. Retailers may also opt-out if the store is located in a county with less than 30,000 people and located within 15 miles of an approved redemption center.^{xx}

As of 2024, 133 state-authorized redemption centers were operating in the State^{xxi}. The data shown in the table only includes certified redemption and mobile redemption centers, not including the approximately 3,100 grocery stores in the state. It is reasonable to assume the 2022 amendment to Iowa’s bottle bill has impacted the retailers opting in to participate in container redemptions.

All four states allow beverage containers to be collected in curbside and drop-off collection systems.

Beverage Containers Eligible for Redemption and Deposit Value

As shown in Table 2 below, not every state includes all beverage container bottles and cans as eligible for redemption. California recently (effective January 1, 2024) expanded to include wine, spirits, wine and spirit coolers, and fruit and vegetable juices.

Table 2 Beverage Containers Covered

Container	California	Iowa	Michigan	Oregon
Carbonated Soft Drinks	X	X	X	X
Beer & Malt Drinks	X	X	X	X
Sparkling Water	X	X	X	X
Non-Sparkling Water	X			X
Sports Drinks	X			X
Energy Drinks	X			X
Juice	X			X
Tea & Coffee	X			X
Wine	X	X		
Mixed Spirits	X	X	X	X
Spirits (Liquor)	X	X		

Source: "2021 Beverage Market Data Analysis," Container Recycling Institute, 2024.

Likewise, the value of the deposit is also state specific, as shown in Table 3.


Table 3 Amount of Deposits

California	Iowa	Michigan	Oregon
5¢ for beverage containers less than 24 ounces, 10¢ for 24 ounces or larger, and 25¢ for wines and distilled spirits in a box, bladder or pouch	5¢	10¢	10¢

2.2 Recycling Rate and Commodity Pricing

Deposit beverage containers recycling rates shown in Figure 7 include containers recycled through redemption centers and curbside and drop-off programs. The recovery rate is derived from the deposit beverage containers sold and recycled, as reported in CRI's 2021 Beverage Market Data Analysis. The analysis is based on the latest data openly available. The recycling rates may not align with other published recycling rates because of calculation methodology or contamination and processing losses.

Figure 7: Deposit Beverage Container Recycling Rate (2021)



Source: "2021 Beverage Market Data Analysis", Container Recycling Institute, 2024. Recycling Rate is deposit beverage container units recycled divided by beverage container units sold.

Iowa's calculation to determine a recovery rate uses a methodology based on the deposit beverage containers measured in the landfill and estimated sales data, which is not how the other three states rates are derived. Iowa does not require reporting of total beverage containers sold by material type. The methodology developed by CRI is an estimate for deposit containers. Two other studies^{xxii}, conducted by different groups, found varying beverage container recycling rates (including deposit and non-deposit) as shown in the table below with their methodology.

	2021	2023
Aluminum cans	76%	62%
PET bottles	30%	68%
Glass bottles	66%	38%


This illustrates data gaps resulting in various deployed methodologies and thus, variances in calculated metrics. This report bases deposit beverage container recycling rates on the CRI methodology.

A limitation to achieving circularity is the ability to capture as many beverage containers as possible. The recycling rates presented in Figure 7 focus on deposit beverage containers only. The deposit beverage containers that are not returned through either collection systems of bottle redemption system, curbside, or drop-off; the unaccounted for is wasted. As shown in Figure 7, in Michigan, the data shows most deposit beverage containers are being recycled.

The Aluminum Association reports that the national average of aluminum beverage containers recycled back into aluminum beverage containers is approximately 96.7%. States measuring lower aluminum can deposit recycling rates demonstrate higher amounts of deposit containers wasted in the system.


The National Association for PET Container Resources (NAPCOR) reports a 68% national average of the PET bottles that are recycled back into food grade PET beverage containers. The trend shows reclaimers mostly source bales and dirty flake purchases, as shown in Figure 8, from curbside programs. The spike in 2021 is assumed to be related to the COVID 19 pandemic. Figure 9 shows the bottle-to-bottle recovery trend for PET is accelerating.

Figure 8: Reclaimer Purchases of Postconsumer PET



Source: NAPCOR PET Recycling Report 2022

Figure 9: Historic PET Collected and Recycling Rate



Source: NAPCOR PET Recycling Report 2022

The key terms in Figure 9 are defined as follows:

- **Collection Rate** is the total weight of U.S. post-consumer bottles collected and sold, divided by the total weight of PET containers (including non-food/beverage) available for recycling. NAPCOR refers to this as the Collection Rate.
- **Collected** refers to the total weight of post-consumer bottles collected for recycling in the U.S.
- **Bottle to Bottle Recovery Rate** is a formula used to determine the total rPET (recycled PET) used in both food and non-food and beverage bottles in the U.S. This is calculated as follows, using data provided in the 2022 NAPCOR PET Recycling report:

$$(Food \& Beverage Bottles + Non-Food Bottles) / Total rPET = Bottle-to-Bottle Recovery Rate$$

The bottle-to-bottle recovery rate has increased steadily since 2012, with a significant increase starting in 2017. NAPCOR attributes increases to new legislative requirements and voluntary corporate commitments to utilize higher levels of post-consumer content in manufacturing product packaging. NAPCOR also states that the increases in the recovery rate are due to the increased trade between U.S. and Mexico markets for rPET.

The Glass Packaging Institute reports a national recycling rate of 31.3%, and states that 80% of glass recovered is made into new glass products.

To illustrate each deposit container's circularity, national data on the percentage of materials collected for recycling that get turned back into containers was applied to the estimate of tons collected for recycling.

Table 4 Estimated Tons of Deposit Containers Recycled into New Beverage Containers

Material	Aluminum Cans (tons)	PET Bottles (tons)	Glass Bottles (tons)
California	117,022	121,163	210,708
Iowa	8,918	5,246	14,795
Oregon	18,440	5,688	15,033
Michigan	43,125	18,521	26,076

Sources:

"2021 Beverage Market Data Analysis," Container Recycling Institute, 2024.


Container Calculated Recycling Rate: The ratio of deposit containers sold to deposit containers recycled using CRI data

Reported National Average Percent Converted back into Beverage Containers: The ratio of new containers produced from existing containers that were collected to be recycled using national data.

* California's percentage of glass that gets turned back into containers was derived from CalRecycle^{xxiii}


Recycling systems generate economic value through the collection and processing of containers, which are then sold to end markets for remanufacturing into new products. Containers not recovered for recycling are potential economic value lost. Figures 10 and 11 graphically demonstrate the varying economic dynamics of aluminum, PET, and glass commodity value trends over the past decade.

Figure 10: Baled Aluminum & PET National Average Economic Value (\$/ton)



The market values of aluminum and PET bales have experienced fluctuations over time, generally following similar trends. Both commodities reached their lowest value in late 2020, reflecting market challenges during that period. However, a subsequent recovery led to a steady increase in their values, culminating in a peak in late 2022.

Figure 11: Mixed Glass National Average Economic Value (\$/ton)



Unlike aluminum and PET, glass exhibits an inverse economic trend, becoming costly to process and send to end markets over time. Instead of generating revenue, glass requires processors to incur costs, as they must pay to have it transported to end markets. This negative market value highlights the economic challenges associated with recycling glass compared to other commodities.

Among the beverage containers, baled aluminum has the highest market value, with a national average of \$1,377 per ton in 2021, followed by baled PET at \$326 per ton (PET deposit baled at \$644 per ton for Los Angeles region). However, glass presents a unique challenge, with a negative market value loss of

\$24 per ton, reflecting costs incurred by processors to deliver it to end markets. (Based on national averages for materials.)

Table 5 Estimated Value of Unrecovered Containers (includes deposit and non-deposit)

State (2021)	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
Michigan	\$15,153,284	\$12,029,901	(\$4,378,920)
Oregon	\$8,149,561	\$188,552	(\$2,558,516)
California	\$71,817,397	\$62,077,820	(\$23,840,597)
Iowa	\$1,730,350	\$13,746,595	(\$472,779)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$96,850,592	\$88,042,868	(\$31,250,812)

Sources:

"2021 Beverage Market Data Analysis," Container Recycling Institute, 2024.

RecyclingMarkets.net^{xxiv}

Table 5 estimates the economic loss of unrecovered containers in each bottle bill state, including unrecovered deposit and non-deposit eligible containers. PET losses totaled approximately \$97 million, with California incurring the highest potential market value loss at roughly \$72 million from all PET containers not recovered or redeemed, followed by Michigan at \$15 million. Aluminum can losses amounted to approximately \$88 million, with California again leading at roughly \$62 million, while Oregon reported the smallest loss at under \$190k. Mixed glass shows a total negative value. This means the mixed glass is currently worth less than zero. If the mixed glass were recovered those would be paying to recycle the glass. Overall, California exhibits the highest commodity losses across each category. This is to be expected as the number of containers bought, returned, and lost is significantly higher in California than in other states.

A detailed analysis was conducted to compare each of the four selected states with their neighboring states. Utilizing national data from RecyclingMarkets.net, along with the most reliable waste disposal, recycling, and generation data published by relevant state agencies, the economic loss associated with wasted containers was calculated. Table 6 examines the economic losses for Michigan, Oregon, California, and Iowa, comparing them to two neighboring states for each.

Table 6 Estimated Market Value of Wasted Containers

Michigan Comparison			
State	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
Michigan	\$15,153,284	\$12,029,901	(\$4,378,920)
Ohio ^{xxv}	\$43,629,591	\$36,058,681	(\$5,054,268)
Indiana ^{xxvi}	\$26,887,254	\$52,822,856	(\$3,418,149)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$85,670,130	\$100,911,439	(\$12,851,337)

Oregon Comparison			
State	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
Oregon	\$8,149,561	\$188,552	(\$2,558,516)
Washington ^{xxvii}	\$51,881,771	\$89,043,602	(\$7,672,283)
California	\$71,817,397	\$62,077,820	(\$23,840,597)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$131,848,729	\$151,309,973	(\$34,071,396)
California Comparison			
State	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
California	\$71,817,397	\$62,077,820	(\$23,840,597)
Nevada ^{xxviii}	\$15,154,664	\$27,084,974	(\$2,043,194)
Arizona ^{xxix}	\$23,251,422	\$39,800,593	(\$3,226,761)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$110,223,483	\$128,963,386	(\$29,110,552)
Iowa Comparison			
State	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
Iowa	\$1,730,350	\$13,746,595	(\$472,779)
Minnesota ^{xxx}	\$12,532,402	\$18,000,722	(\$1,723,259)
Illinois ^{xxxi}	\$43,334,658	\$80,823,390	(\$7,523,081)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$57,597,409	\$112,570,707	(\$9,719,119)

Note: Indiana data uses the reference year of 2023

Note: Illinois data uses the reference year of 2015

Note: National data uses the reference year of 2018

To ensure data consistency, the calculations in Table 6 primarily used 2021 as the reference year whenever possible. In cases where 2021 data was unavailable, data from other years was used. Data for the four selected states was sourced from CRI. Data for the comparison states were derived from their respective state agencies and U.S. EPA data. State-reported waste disposal, recycling, and generation data was applied to U.S. EPA material composition estimates for waste streams (disposal, recycling, and generation) to estimate the quantities of each material for each state.

In cases where states provided specific material composition data, those figures were used directly instead of the broader methodology described above. It is important to note that the methodologies for reporting waste totals vary significantly by state, each with unique limitations. For instance, Illinois reported specific data on aluminum recycling in its state report which was used in the calculations. However, Illinois employs a voluntary reporting system, which likely underrepresents the total recycling activity. Consequently, the estimates for wasted aluminum cans, in this case, may be artificially inflated.

The calculations presented are based on the best available data and should be considered estimates rather than exact figures. They are meant to provide a general understanding of the potential economic loss from wasted materials across the included states.

Table 7 Estimated Per Capita Market Value of Wasted Containers

Michigan Comparison			
State	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
Michigan	\$1.49	\$1.19	(\$0.43)
Ohio	\$3.71	\$3.06	(\$0.43)
Indiana	\$3.94	\$7.75	(\$0.50)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$9.15	\$12.00	(\$1.36)
Oregon Comparison			
State	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
Oregon	\$1.91	\$0.04	(\$0.60)
Washington	\$6.70	\$11.50	(\$0.99)
California	\$1.83	\$1.59	(\$0.61)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$10.44	\$13.13	(\$2.20)
California Comparison			
State	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
California	\$1.83	\$1.59	(\$0.61)
Nevada	\$4.81	\$8.60	(\$0.65)
Arizona	\$3.20	\$5.47	(\$0.44)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$9.84	\$15.66	(\$1.70)
Iowa Comparison			
State	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Glass Bottles
Iowa	\$0.53	\$4.24	(\$0.15)
Minnesota	\$2.19	\$3.15	(\$0.30)
Illinois	\$3.41	\$6.36	(\$0.59)
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$6.14	\$13.75	(\$1.04)
National Averages			
United States	PET Bottles	Aluminum Cans	Mixed Glass
Total Estimated Commodity Value (Lost)	\$2.18	\$2.98	(\$0.28)

Using the values calculated in Table 6, the table above shows the total commodity value lost per capita. Population estimates for each state and nationally were obtained through the U.S. Census Bureau^{xxxii}. The estimated commodity value lost for PET bottles and aluminum cans in three of the four bottle bill states falls below the national average per ton, demonstrating the effectiveness of bottle bills in capturing materials from disposal. In neighboring non-bottle bill states, the potential market value lost for PET and aluminum containers reflects an average of \$2–3 per ton commodity value loss greater than in the compared bottle bill states.

Glass containers show a different trend. In each comparative state grouping, except for Iowa, per capita value loss is roughly the same; this demonstrates that glass recovery in the compared bottle bill states is realizing the same economic value losses. The exception is Iowa. While Iowa also shows a less than zero value, the per capita value loss is less, demonstrating that more glass is being recovered back into the market.

Another important exception to note is the potential market value lost from aluminum containers in Iowa. The per capita potential market value lost from aluminum cans is significantly higher than in other Bottle bill states. This may, in part, be due to the unintended consequences of Iowa's bottle bill statute. Without mandatory data reporting on containers redeemed in the State, it is difficult to know exactly how many eligible containers are being wasted instead of recovered.

2.3 What Impacts a Material's Circularity?

Color: The color of beverage containers plays a significant role in their recyclability, particularly for materials like glass and PET. The issue stems from the way color affects the recycling process. Clear and white PET plastics are the easiest to recycle. They can be processed and transformed back into clear or white plastic products without any degradation in quality. This makes clear plastics highly desirable in the recycling stream. When plastics, such as green or blue PET bottles, are recycled, they cannot be turned into the same color material. Instead, they are usually downcycled into darker colors like black. This is due to the challenges in separating and sorting colored plastics, and because different dyes and pigments can affect the quality and appearance of the new material. Black plastics, although recyclable, are less desirable for manufacturers, who may be hesitant to purchase and use them due to aesthetic or functional reasons. This can lead to a situation where a recycled green PET bottle ends up being downcycled into black plastic, which is less marketable and may ultimately end up in landfills.

The challenges are similar with colored glass. Different colors of glass—such as green, amber, and clear—are often not mixed during the recycling process. This is because mixing different colored glass can reduce the quality of the recycled glass. For example, clear glass is in high demand because it can be reused to make new clear glass products, while green or amber glass has limited applications. The need for strict separation of glass by color means that recycling systems must be more careful in sorting and processing, making it more complex and costly. If glass is not properly sorted, the result can be a lower-quality recycled product, which may be less useful for certain applications. In some cases, improperly sorted glass may be downcycled into products that have limited functionality, or it may not be recycled at all, contributing to landfilled waste.

Hard to Avoid Contamination: Contamination is a common issue in any recycling system, with much of it being avoidable, such as improper materials entering the recycling stream or containers with food residue still inside. However, certain contaminants are inherent to the product design of the materials themselves, including bottle caps, glue, and plastic labels, which are challenging to remove or avoid during the recycling process. These items often enter the recycling stream in a way that is extremely difficult to prevent, as they are integral parts of the materials being recycled. This issue is particularly problematic for PET and glass bottles, but aluminum faces fewer challenges in this regard. The recycling process for aluminum is the most straightforward when it comes to difficult to avoid contamination. The only significant contaminant in aluminum recycling is the can sleeve, which is burned off at the start of the process, leaving behind only pure aluminum. In contrast, plastic and glass materials with challenging contaminants require additional sorting and removal before undergoing processing, which can add complexity to the recycling process. The existence of such contaminants also complicates the measurement of circularity. Typically, these contaminants are included in weight metrics, even though they may not be fully recycled, leading to inflated values and misrepresentations of the true circularity of the material. Of the three materials discussed, aluminum experiences the least inflation in recycling numbers, generally making reported numbers closest to the true values regarding circularity.

Material Quality: In a circular system, the ideal scenario is for materials to be recycled back into the same type of product from which they originated. For instance, a PET water bottle can be recycled into a new PET water bottle, and the same concept applies to glass and aluminum containers. However, nuances arise, particularly with PET and glass materials. PET, especially, is highly versatile and is used in a wide range of containers, from cleaning bottles to drinking bottles. Unfortunately, recycling a cleaning spray bottle into a water bottle is not as straightforward as it may seem. The challenge lies in the trace chemicals that are present in cleaning spray bottles, which are strictly prohibited in food or beverage containers. The recycling process for PET involves cleaning and melting the plastic to form a new resin, which is then used to manufacture new bottles. While this process includes extensive cleaning and heat treatment, it does not eliminate all chemicals from the bottles. As a result, a cleaning spray container will always contain trace elements of these prohibited chemicals, making it unsuitable for recycling into a water bottle or any other product intended for food or beverage use.

2.4 Circularity of PET

PET bottles account for the vast majority of PET in the recycling stream. Currently, the largest recycled PET end market is food and beverage bottles (45%), followed by synthetic fiber (24%) and sheet and film (15%) which is used in thermoformed packaging. (See Figure 12). Figure 13 shows the historic increase in recycled PET by end market product. The amount of recycled PET into new food and beverage bottles shows growth. Recycled PET usage and incorporation into products is being increased by brand commitments to incorporate recycled resins into products, due to EPR legislation in states such as California.

PET is the most widely used material for producing plastic bottles and jars, but its circular nature presents several challenges, particularly in the recycling process for PET bottles. While the recycling process itself and the remanufacturing of PET into new products are feasible, the significant challenge lies at the beginning of the process—collection and sortation. Reclaimers face a variety of issues that hinder PET's recycling efficiency, with contamination and color being major factors. Contamination, both avoidable and unavoidable, complicates the recycling process. Avoidable contamination, such as food or liquid residue, dirt, and other non-recyclable materials, is especially

difficult to manage. Every instance of contamination reduces both the quality and quantity of recyclable material. According to the National Association for PET Container Resources (NAPCOR), reclaimers report significant unrecoverable yield loss due to contamination from liquid residue, dirt, and non-recyclable materials. Additionally, NAPCOR identifies "look-alike" contamination, where materials that resemble PET are made from different resin types, further complicating the sorting process.

Figure 12: Recycled PET End Markets





Figure 13: Historic Recycled PET End Markets



Contaminants are counted in the input weight of the system but must be removed during the recycling process, which means they do not contribute to the final output. The difference between the input weight and the recovered material is termed material efficiency by NAPCOR, a key metric used to describe the circularity of the material. According to NAPCOR's 2022 data^{xxxiii}, 1.786 billion pounds of PET were recovered as usable output from an input feedstock of 2.264 billion pounds, yielding a 79% recovered material efficiency rate. In addition to avoidable contamination, reclaimers also face challenges with unavoidable contaminants. PET bottles are often designed with labels, ink, adhesives, and caps that cannot be recycled with the plastic resin and must be removed before recycling. If these items are not properly separated during sorting, they can degrade the quality of the recycled PET. Another front-end consideration is the color of the bottle. Clear plastic bottles can be recycled back into clear plastic bottles, maintaining their original quality. However, colored plastics cannot be recycled back into the same color bottle due to the chemical properties of the material. When melted down, the color shifts to a dark gray or black, which reduces the material's recyclability.

The two most widely utilized methods for recycling PET are mechanical and chemical recycling. Mechanical recycling is the most common, involving the cleaning of PET bottles followed by grinding them into flakes. However, the cleaning process in mechanical recycling does not fully eliminate the chemicals or organic compounds absorbed by the resin during the bottle's lifecycle. As a result, trace chemicals or organic compounds may remain within the resin. This presents a significant challenge for reclaimers, who must separate bottles used for different purposes, such as cleaning sprays and water bottles. For example, a cleaning spray bottle cannot be recycled into a water bottle due to the lingering chemicals. Reclaimers are required by law to rigorously test their products to ensure that no trace chemicals remain, which further impacts the efficiency and circularity of the recycling process.

Chemical recycling, also known as molecular recycling, is the process of converting waste products back into raw plastic materials. Molecular recycling is a complex process and primarily occurs by changing the chemical structure of plastics such as pyrolysis and gasification. This type of recycling is promoted by the plastic manufacturing industry as another way to manufacture new plastic products and keep plastic materials out of landfills.

A final consideration for the circularity of PET is the type of recycling taking place, namely bottle-to-bottle recycling versus bottle-to-fiber recycling (commonly known as downcycling). PET flowing into the recycling system via redemption centers produce high quantities of single resin types to move directly to reclaimers and product manufacturers. Bottle-to-bottle reclaimers invest in more sophisticated technology and processes to produce food-grade rPET. Bottle deposit states produce a feedstock source for the food grade bottles, especially in California where mandates are at play.

2.5 Circularity of Glass

Glass bottles face many of the same circularity challenges as plastics, particularly in terms of contamination and color, which significantly impact recycling efficiency. These challenges arise at the beginning of the recycling process, requiring sorting and cleaning, which can hinder the material's circularity. Contamination further complicates the process. Avoidable contamination, such as food or liquid residue, dirt, and other non-recyclable materials, is especially difficult to manage, much like with other types of recyclable materials. An additional challenge for glass recycling is the material's weight and

fragility, which make it less economically efficient to handle compared to other materials. Moreover, clear and colored glass cannot be mixed during the recycling process, doing so diminishes the quality of the final product and, consequently, its market value. To address this limitation, many glass collection points require the glass to be sorted by color before recycling.

Due to these challenges recycling glass efficiently can be difficult. A 2018 report from the Northeast Recycling Council (NERC), which surveyed material recovery facilities (MRFs) in 11 northeastern U.S. states, revealed that 54% of all glass material collected by MRFs must be sent to glass processors for cleaning. Furthermore, only 0.02% of the reported tonnages of glass were sent directly to manufacturers for recycling into new products. The report also indicated that a significant portion of the glass received by MRFs (38%) ends up in landfills, often being used for alternative daily cover, road base fill, or simply disposed of. The study concluded that the greatest challenges to the circularity of glass are contamination and the need for additional cleaning by processors.

However, glass recycling becomes much more efficient when bottles are collected separately rather than being commingled with other materials. For example, areas with Bottle bills, where consumers return bottles for recycling, generally experience higher glass circularity than regions without such programs. Bottle bill states produce a significant amount of clean, sorted glass that is of higher quality and value, bypassing the need for sorting at MRFs. This source-separated glass is more easily directed to manufacturers for recycling, contributing to more efficient and effective glass recycling.

2.6 Circularity of Aluminum

Aluminum is considered one of the easiest materials to recycle due to its unique properties and the efficiency of its recycling process. It has several factors which contribute to its high circularity.

- **Minimal Quality Loss:** Unlike other materials that have their chemical properties degraded over multiple recycling cycles, aluminum retains its properties allowing it to be reused to make new products with very minimal decline in quality over time.
- **Simple and Efficient Processes:** The process of recycling aluminum is highly efficient. The cleaning process is simple, removing contaminants by burning contaminants off. The pure metal is then melted down and able to be remade into new products.
- **Low Contamination:** Aluminum is less susceptible to contamination compared to materials like PET or glass. While there are some unavoidable contaminants (such as labels and can sleeves), these are easily removed during the recycling process.
- **Minimal Material Loss:** Because of the simplicity of the recycling process, cleaner outputs with minimal material loss occur. The weight of materials going into the furnace is the weight that is tracked and is free of any material that is not fit for recycling.
- **Market Demand:** There is a high market demand for recycled aluminum as it requires a fraction of the energy and cost of using virgin material. Its high circularity creates a positive feedback loop that ensures its economic viability, driving the demand for recycled material.

3.0 Key Takeaways

There will always be unclaimed monies in the redemption system – determining how the money is used if it is unclaimed is critical to the long-term success of the bottle bill.

- California statute distributes accumulated unclaimed deposit money to a network that supports the collection, processing, education, and market development of beverage containers.
- California's bottle deposit system has a complete scope of beverage containers.
- Oregon statute has a trigger to adjust the deposit level if the return rate falls below a threshold.
- Michigan's deposit beverage container recycling rates demonstrate more deposit beverage containers flowing through redemption program than through curbside and drop-off programs.
- Convenience matters – as with any recycling program, redemption rates rise as redemption opportunities for consumers increase.
- The deposit amount matters – higher deposit rates incentivize consumers to return containers.
- Language matters – how a statute is written can determine how effective the redemption system is.
- The adage is true: You can't manage what you don't measure. States with Bottle bills and mandated reporting requirements for beverage and recycling industries allow for program performance metrics. California statute requires total sales and total deposits collected, as well as how the recovered material was recovered for sale to end users from MRFs or other recycling processors.

Appendix A: State Statute Definitions

California Statute Definitions^{xxxiv}:

- **“Convenience Zone”** means either of the following:
 - (1) The area within a one-mile radius of a supermarket.
 - (2) The area designated by the department pursuant to Section [14571.5](#).
- **“Fund”** means an independent accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts, created for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions or limitations. It has accounts for assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, deferred inflows, reserves, equities, revenue, and expenditures/expenses.
- **“Processor”** means any person, including a scrap dealer, certified by the department who purchases empty aluminum beverage containers, bimetal beverage containers, glass beverage containers, plastic beverage containers, or any other beverage containers, including any one or more of those beverage containers, which have a refund value established pursuant to this division, from recycling centers in this state for recycling, or, if the container is not recyclable, not for recycling, and who cancels, or who certifies to the department in a form prescribed by the department the cancellation of, the refund value of these empty beverage containers by processing empty beverage containers, in any manner which the department may prescribe.
- **“Recycling Center”** means an operation which is certified by the department, and which accepts from consumers and pays or provides the refund value pursuant to Section 14572 for, empty beverage containers intended to be recycled.
- **“Redemption” and “redeem”** means the return to a recycling center or location of an empty beverage container for a refund of at least the refund value.

Iowa Statute Definitions^{xxxv}:

- **“Dealer”** means any person who engages in the sale of beverages in beverage containers to a consumer.
- **“Dealer agent”** means a person who solicits or picks up empty beverage containers for the purpose of returning the empty beverage containers to a distributor or manufacturer.
- **“Distributor”** means any person who engages in the sale of beverages in beverage containers to a dealer in this state, including any manufacturer who engages in such sales.
- **“Manufacturer”** means any person who bottles, cans, or otherwise fills beverage containers for sale to distributors or dealers.
- **“Redemption center”** means a facility at which consumers may return empty beverage containers and receive payment for the refund value of the empty beverage containers.

Michigan Statute Definitions^{xxxvi}:

- **“Dealer”** means a person who sells or offers for sale to consumers within this state a beverage in a beverage container, including an operator of a vending machine containing a beverage in a beverage container.
- **“Distributor”** means a person who sells beverages in beverage containers to a dealer within this state and includes a manufacturer who engages in such sales.
- **“Manufacturer”** means a person who bottles, cans, or otherwise places beverages in beverage containers for sale to distributors, dealers, or consumers.
- **“Overredeemer”** means a distributor or manufacturer whose sum of the total value of deposits collected from participating customers on beverage containers sold in this state in a specified period and the refunds received under subsection (5) in the specified period is less than the total value of refunds made to participating customers on beverage containers redeemed in this state in that specified period.^{xxxvii}
- **“Unclaimed deposits”** refer to returnable containers; they are considered to be the property of the person purchasing the returnable container and are not the property of the distributor or manufacturer who originated the deposit.^{xxxviii}
- **“Underredeemer”** means a distributor or manufacturer whose sum of the total value of deposits collected from participating customers on beverage containers sold in this state in a specified period and the refunds received under subsection (5) in the specified period exceeds the total value of refunds made to participating customers on beverage containers redeemed in this state in that specified period.^{xxxix}

Oregon Statute Definitions^{xi}:

- **“Dealer”** means every person in this state who engages in the sale of beverages in beverage containers to a consumer or means a full-service redemption center approved under ORS 459A.735.
- **“Dealer redemption center”** means a location that meets the requirements of ORS 459A.741, at which any person may return empty beverage containers and receive payment of the refund value of the beverage containers.
- **“Distributor”** means every person who engages in the sale of beverages in beverage containers to a dealer in this state including any manufacturer who engages in such sales.
- **“Distributor cooperative”** refers to two or more distributors who collect and pay refund value for beverage containers specified in the statute, process beverage containers sold in the state, and maintain a registry of all beverage containers sold and redeemed in this state.^{xii}
- **“Full-service redemption center”** means a location that meets the requirements of ORS 459A.737, at which any person may return empty beverage containers and receive payment of the refund value of the beverage containers.
- **“Manufacturer”** means every person bottling, canning or otherwise filling beverage containers for sale to distributors, importers or dealers.
- **“Redemption center”** means a full-service redemption center or a dealer redemption center.

References

- ⁱ Container Recycling Institute. Redemption Rates and Other Features of 10 U.S. State Deposit Programs. 2024. <https://www.bottlebill.org/Allstates/10%20states%20Redemption%20Rates%20102924.pdf>
- ⁱⁱ Container Recycling Institute. <https://www.bottlebill.org/index.php/about-bottle-bills/the-fate-of-unclaimed-or-abandoned-deposits>.
- ⁱⁱⁱ Interview with Oregon Beverage Recycling Cooperative representative, November 2024, and supported by Cooperative 2023 Annual Report <https://obrc.com/about-obrc/obrcs-reports/>
- ^{iv} CalRecycle. State of Beverage Container Recycling Fund. FY 2017-2018, 2018-2019, 2019-2020, 2020-2021, and 2022-2023.
- ^v California Public Resources Code (PRC) Division 12.1 Chapter 7, 14580 - 14587
- ^{vi} California Public Resources Code (PRC) Division 12.1 Chapter 7, 14585
- ^{vii} California Public Resources Code (PRC) Division 12.1 Chapter 7, 14580 - 14587
- ^{viii} CalRecycle. 2023 Processing Fee Final Report. 2023. <https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/Publications/Details/1733>
- ^{ix} Davidson, Amie. Bureau Chief for Iowa Land Management. Phone interview. Iowa Department of Agriculture and Land Stewardship. November 2024.
- ^x Container Recycling Institute. 2022 Recovery Rate Report for Deposit Beverage Containers. 2024.
- ^{xi} Container Recycling Institute. Redemption Rates and Other Features of 10 U.S. State Deposit Programs. 2024.
- ^{xii} Iowa Code Section 455C.3(2) and (4).
- ^{xiii} Michigan Compiled Law 445.573f Community pollution prevention fund
- ^{xiv} Oregon Revised Statute 459A.705
- ^{xv} <https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/BevContainer/RecyclingCenters/>
- ^{xvi} <https://programs.iowadnr.gov/solidwaste/bottlebill/ApprovedList?Length=10>
- ^{xvii} https://www.iowadnr.gov/Portals/idnr/uploads/waste/bb_rulechangesfaq_iowans.pdf
- ^{xviii} Michigan Compiled Law 445.572
- ^{xix} Iowa Administrative Code 567-107 (455C)
<https://www.legis.iowa.gov/law/administrativeRules/chapters?chapterDocID=871185>
- ^{xx} Iowa Senate File 2378.
https://www.legis.iowa.gov/legislation/BillBook?ba=sf2378&ga=89&utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery
June 17, 2022.
- ^{xxi} <https://programs.iowadnr.gov/solidwaste/bottlebill/ApprovedList?Length=10>
- ^{xxii} Eunomia. The 50 States of Recycling. A State-by-State Assessment of US Packaging Recycling Rates. December 2023.
- ^{xxiii} The 50 States of Recycling. A State-by-State Assessment of US Packaging Recycling Rates. March 2021.
- ^{xxiv} CalRecycle. 2022 Minimum Content Report for Glass Containers and Fiberglass Production. 2023.
- ^{xxv} RecyclingMarkets.net. 2025. <https://www.recyclingmarkets.net/>
- ^{xxvi} Ohio EPA. 2021 Annual District Reports. 2021.
- ^{xxvii} Indiana Department of Environmental Management. 2023 Recycling Index Report. November 2024.
- ^{xxviii} Washington Department of Ecology. Waste Generation and Recovery Data (2021). May 2023.
- ^{xxix} Nevada Department of Environmental Protection. 2021 State Recycling Rate. 2023.
- ^{xxx} Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. 2021 Arizona Municipal Recycling Data Report. January 2025.
- ^{xxxi} Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. 2021 Score Report. January 2025.
- ^{xxxii} Illinois Recycling Foundation. 2015 Illinois Waste Characterization. January 2025.

-
- xxxii U.S. Census Bureau. Annual Estimates of the Resident Population for the United States, Regions, States, District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico: April 1, 2020 to July 1, 2024. January 2025.
- xxxiii The National Association for PET Container Resources. 2022 PET Recycling Report. December 2023.
- xxxiv California Public Resources Code (PRC) Division 12.1 Chapter 2 14502-14529.7
- xxxv Iowa Code Section 455C.1
- xxxvi Michigan Compiled Law 445.571
- xxxvii Michigan Compiled Law 445.573b
- xxxviii Michigan Compiled Law 445.573d
- xxxix Michigan Compiled Law 445.573b
- xl Oregon Revised Statute 459A.700
- xli Oregon Revised Statute 459A.718